

PRESERVING PROPERTIES OF SUBCLASSES OF *p*-VALENT FUNCTIONS BY USING INTEGRAL OPERATORS

RADU DIACONU AND CAMELIA CLENCI

Presented at the 11th International Symposium GEOMETRIC FUNCTION THEORY AND APPLICATIONS 24-27 August 2015, Ohrid, Republic of Macedonia

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the preserving properties of a subclass of p-valent functions by using integral operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A_p denote the class of functions of the form

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic and p valent in the unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Also denote by T_p the class of functions of the form

(1.2)
$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, (a_k \ge 0, z \in U),$$

which are analytic and p-valent in U.

Definition 1.1. ([2]) Let $I_{A,p}$ be a Alexander type integral operator defined as:

$$I_{A,p}: A_p \to A_p, I_{A,p}(F) = f, p \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

(1.3)
$$f(z) = p \int_0^z \frac{F(t)}{t} dt.$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. p-valent functions, Alexander type integral operator, Bernardi type integral operator, $I_{c+\delta,p}$ integral operator, Sălăgean type operator, $L_{a,p}$ operator.

Definition 1.2. ([2]) Let $I_{a,p}$ be a Bernardi type integral operator defined as:

$$I_{a,p}: A_p \to A_p, \ I_{a,p}(F) = f, \ a = 1, 2, 3, ..., p \in \mathbb{N}_p$$

where

(1.4)
$$f(z) = \frac{p+a}{z^a} \int_{0}^{z} F(t) \cdot t^{a-1} dt.$$

Definition 1.3. ([2]) Let $L_{a,p}$ be a generalization of the previously integral operator defined as:

$$L_{a,p}:A_p
ightarrow A_p, L_{a,p}(F)=f,\ a\in \mathbb{R},\ a\geq 0,\ p\in \mathbb{N},$$

where

(1.5)
$$f(z) = \frac{p+a}{z^a} \int_{0}^{z} F(t) \cdot t^{a-1} dt.$$

Definition 1.4. ([1]) Let $I_{c+\delta,p}$, be the integral operator defined as:

$$I_{c+\delta,p}:A_p
ightarrow A_p,\, 0< u\leq 1,\, 1\leq \delta<\infty,\, 0< c<\infty,$$

(1.6)
$$f(z) = I_{c+\delta,p}(F)(z) = (c+\delta+p-1)\int_{0}^{1} u^{c+\delta-2}F(uz)du$$

Remark 1.1. ([2]) For $\delta = 1$ and c = 1, 2, ..., from the integral operator $I_{c+\delta,p}$ we obtain the Bernardi integral operator defined by (1.4).

2. Preliminary results

The Sălăgean differential operator [3] can be generalized for a function $f(z) \in A_p$ as follows

$$S^0_{\delta,p}f(z) = f(z),$$

 $S^1_{\delta,p}f(z) = (1-\delta)f(z) + \deltarac{zf'(z)}{p} = S_{\delta,p}f(z),$
 \vdots

$$S^n_{\delta,p}f(z)=S_{\delta,p}(S^{n-1}_{\delta,p}f(z)),\,(n\in\mathbb{N},\delta\geq0,z\in U).$$

The *n*-th Ruscheweyh derivative for a function $f(z) \in A_p$, is defined by

$$R_p^nf(z)=rac{z^p}{n!}rac{d^n}{dz^n}(z^{n-p}f(z)),(n\in\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\},z\in U)$$

It can be easily seen that the operators S_p^n and R_p^n on the function $f(z) \in A_p$ are given by

$$S^n_{\delta,p}f(z)=z^p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\left(1+(rac{k}{p}-1)\delta
ight)^na_kz^k,$$

and

$$R_p^n f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} C_{n+k-p}^n a_k z^k,$$

78

where $C_{n+k-p}^{n} = \frac{(n+k-p)!}{n!(k-p)!}$.

Definition 2.1. ([3]) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lambda \ge 0$. Let $D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}f$ denote the operator defined by

$$D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}:A_p o A_p,$$

$$D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}f(z)=(1-\lambda)S^n_{\delta,p}f(z)+\lambda R^n_pf(z),\,z\in U.$$

Notice that $D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}$ is a linear operator and for $f(z) \in A_p$ we have

$$D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}f(z)=z^p+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)a_kz^k,$$

where

(2.1)
$$\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p) = \left[(1-\lambda) \left(1 + (\frac{k}{p} - 1)\delta \right)^n + \lambda C_{n+k-p}^n \right]$$

It is clear that $D^0_{\lambda,\delta,p}f(z)=f(z)$ and $D^1_{\lambda,1,p}f(z)=rac{z}{p}f'(z).$

Definition 2.2. ([3]) For $-p \leq \alpha < p$, $\beta \geq 0$, we let $S_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, be the subclass of A_p consisting of functions f(z) of the form (1.1) and satisfying the following condition

$$Re\left\{rac{z(D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}f(z))'}{D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}f(z)}-lpha
ight\}\geq etaigg|rac{z(D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}f(z))'}{D^n_{\lambda,\delta,p}f(z)}-pigg|,$$

also let $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) = S_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) \cap T_p$.

Theorem 2.1. ([3]) A function f(z) defined by (1.2) is in the class $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, $-p \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0$, if and only if

(2.2)
$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + p\beta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)a_k \leq (p-\alpha),$$

where $\phi_k(n, \lambda, \delta, p)$ is given by (2.1) and the result is sharp.

Corollary 2.1. ([3]) Let the function f(z) defined by (1.2) be in the class $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, $-p \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0$, then

$$a_k \leq rac{(p-lpha)}{\{k(1+eta)-(lpha+peta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)}, \; k\geq p+1.$$

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. The Alexander type integral operator defined by (1.3) preserves the class $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, that is: If $F \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, then $f(z) = I_{A,p}F(z) \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, for $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $(a_k \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\})$.

Proof. Let $\subset T_p, \; F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \; a_k \geq 0.$ Then

$$egin{aligned} f(z) &= I_{A,p}F(z) = p \int\limits_{0}^{z} rac{F(t)}{t} dt = p \int\limits_{0}^{z} rac{1}{t} \left(t^{p} - \sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k}t^{k}
ight) dt \ &= p igg(rac{z^{p}}{p} - \sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty} rac{a^{k}}{k}z^{k} igg) = z^{p} - \sum\limits_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_{k}z^{k}, \end{aligned}$$

with $b_k = p \frac{a_k}{k} \ge 0$, $k \ge p + 1$. It follows that $f \in T_p$. We have now to prove that $f \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$. Using Theorem 2.1 we need to prove that:

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+p\beta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)b_k \leq (p-\alpha),$$

for $-p \leq \alpha < p$, $\beta \geq 0$. This means:

$$\sum_{=p+1}^{\infty} \{k(1+eta)-(lpha+peta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)prac{a_k}{k}\leq (p-lpha),$$

But we have $p\frac{a_k}{k} \leq a_k$, for $k \geq p+1$, and by using (2.2), we observe that inequality (3.1) is fulfilled. This means that $f \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$. \Box

Theorem 3.2. The integral operator $I_{c+\delta,p}$, defined by (1.6) preserves the class $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, that is: If $F \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, then $f(z) = I_{c+\delta,p}F(z) \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, for $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $(a_k \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\})$.

Proof. Let $F \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \ge 0$. We have, from Theorem 2.1:

(3.2)
$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+p\beta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)a_k \leq (p-\alpha).$$

From (1.6) we obtain $f(z) = I_{c+\delta,p}$, $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{c+\delta+p-1}{c+k+\delta-1} a_k z^k$, where $0 < c < \infty$, $1 \le \delta < \infty$.

We also remark that for $0 < c < \infty, \ k \geq p+1$ and $1 \leq \delta < \infty,$ we have

$$(3.3) 0 < \frac{c+\delta+p-1}{c+k+\delta-1} < 1$$

Thus $f \in T_p$ and by using Theorem 2.1 we have only to prove that:

(3.4)
$$\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+p\beta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)\frac{c+\delta+p-1}{c+k+\delta-1}a_k \le (p-\alpha)$$

where $-p \leq \alpha < p, \ \beta \geq 0, \ 0 < c < \infty$ and $1 \leq \delta < \infty$. By using the relation (3.3) we have

$$rac{c+\delta+p-1}{c+k+\delta-1}\cdot a_k < a_k,$$

for $0 < c < \infty$, $k \ge p+1$, $1 \le \delta < \infty$, and thus from (3.2) we conclude that the condition (3.4) take place and thus the proof it is complete.

The following theorem is proved similarly (see Remark 1.1):

Theorem 3.3. The Bernardi type integral operator defined by (1.4) preserves the class $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, that is: If $F \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, then $f(z) = I_{a,p}F(z) \in T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$, for $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $(a_k \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\})$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$ with $-p \leq \alpha < p$, $\beta \geq 0$, $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, $b_k \geq 0$. For $f(z) = L_{a,p}(F)(z)$, $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_k \geq 0$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, where the integral operator $L_{a,p}$ it is defined by (1.5), we have:

$$a_k \leq rac{(p-lpha)}{\{k(1+eta)-(lpha+peta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)}\cdot rac{a+p}{a+k}, \ k\geq p+1.$$

Proof. For $f = L_{a,p}(F)(z)$ with $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ and $f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ we have

$$a_k = b_k \cdot \frac{a+p}{a+k},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \ge 0$, $k \ge p+1$. The coefficient bounds for the functions belonging to the class $T_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)$ are

$$b_k \leq rac{(p-lpha)}{\{k(1+eta)-(lpha+peta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)}$$

For $k \ge p+1$ we obtain

$$egin{aligned} a_k &= b_k \cdot rac{a+p}{a+k} \leq \ &\leq rac{(p-lpha)}{\{k(1+eta)-(lpha+peta)\}\phi_k(n,\lambda,\delta,p)} \cdot rac{a+p}{a+k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

References

- [1] M. ACU, P. DICU, R. DIACONU: Preserving properties of p-valent functions, submited.
- R. DIACONU: Preserving properties of subclasses p-valent and estimating the coefficients by operator La,p, General Mathematics, ISSN: 1221-5023, 21(1-2) (2013), 31-39.
- [3] T.O. SALIM, M.S. MAROUF, J.M. SHENAN: A subclass of multivalent uniformly convex functions associated with generalized Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh differential operators, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, ISSN: 1582-5329, 26 (2011), 289-300.

UNIVERSITY "ALMA MATER" OF SIBIU STR.SOMESULUI, NO. 57 550003-SIBIU, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: radudyaconu@yahoo.com R. DIACONU AND C. CLENCI

UNIVERSITY OF PITESTI DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS STR. TARGUL DIN VALE, NO.1 110040 - PITESTI, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: cameliaclenci@yahoo.com

82