

PRIME IDEALS OF $M\Gamma$ -GROUPSSATYANARAYANA BHAVANARI, VENUGOPALA RAO PARUCHURI,
SYAM PRASAD KUNCHAM¹, AND MALLIKARJUNA BHAVANARI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the algebraic system $M\Gamma$ -group, a generalization of the concept module over a nearring. We define prime ideal of $M\Gamma$ -group and obtain some equivalent conditions for a prime ideal of an $M\Gamma$ -group. Some related fundamental results and examples are also presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this section we provide elementary definition and examples from Satyanarayana [11, 13] for the sake of completeness.

Let $(M, +)$ be a group (not necessarily Abelian) and Γ a non-empty set. Then M is said to be a Γ -nearring if there exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (denote the image of (m_1, α_1, m_2) by $m_1\alpha_1m_2$ for $m_1, m_2 \in M$ and $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $(m_1 + m_2)\alpha_1m_3 = m_1\alpha_1m_3 + m_2\alpha_1m_3$ and
- (2) $(m_1\alpha_1m_2)\alpha_2m_3 = m_1\alpha_1(m_2\alpha_2m_3)$

for all $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in M$ and for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma$.

Furthermore, M is said to be a **zero-symmetric Γ -nearring** if $m\alpha 0 = 0$ for all $m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ (where '0' is the additive identity in M).

Consider an example, take $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7\}$, the group of integers modulo 8 and a set $X = \{a, b\}$. Write $M = \{f | f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8 \text{ and } f(a) = 0\}$.

¹corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. Nearring, gamma nearring, ideal, prime ideal.

Then $M = \{f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_7\}$ where f_i is defined by $f_i(a) = 0$ and $f_i(b) = i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 7$. Now define two mappings $g_0, g_1 : \mathbb{Z}_8 \rightarrow X$ by setting $g_0(i) = a$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_8$ and $g_1(i) = a$ if $i \notin \{3, 7\}$, $g_1(i) = b$ if $i \in \{3, 7\}$. Write $\Gamma = \{g_0, g_1\}$, $\Gamma^* = \{g_0\}$. Then M is a Γ -nearring and a Γ^* -nearring.

Let M be a Γ -nearring. An additive group G is said to be an **$M\Gamma$ -group** if there exists a mapping $G \times \Gamma \times G \rightarrow G$ (denote the image of (m, α, g) by $m\alpha g$ for $m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, g \in G$) satisfying the conditions:

- (1) $(m_1 + m_2)\alpha_1 g = m_1\alpha_1 g + m_2\alpha_1 g$ and
- (2) $(m_1\alpha_1 m_2)\alpha_2 g = m_1\alpha_1(m_2\alpha_2 g)$

for all $m_1, m_2 \in M, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma$ and $g \in G$.

Satyanarayana [6, 7, 12] introduced and studied the concepts like f-prime ideals and corresponding f-prime radical in Γ -near-rings. Further Satyanarayana [13] generalized the notion of module over nearring to module over gamma nearrings and established fundamental structure theorems. Radical of gamma nearrings also studied by Booth [1–3]. The concept of equiprime ideal of a gamma nearring is a generalization of equiprime ideal of a nearring which was studied in Booth and Groenewald [4]. Satyanarayana and Syam Prasad [8, 15] studied fuzzy aspects of gamma nearrings.

For standard notations, elementary definitions and results on nearrings, we refer Pilz [5], Satyanarayana and Syam Prasad [9]. Throughout, we denote M for a Γ -nearring and G for an $M\Gamma$ -group.

2. SUBGROUPS AND IDEALS OF $M\Gamma$ -GROUP:

Definition 1 (Satyanarayana [11, 13]). An additive subgroup H of G is said to be $M\Gamma$ -subgroup if $m\alpha h \in H$ for all $m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $h \in H$. Note that (0) and G are the trivial $M\Gamma$ -subgroups. A normal subgroup H of G is said to be an ideal of G if $m\alpha(g + h) - m\alpha g \in H$ for $m \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, g \in G$ and $h \in H$. Moreover, a subgroup A of M is said to be an $M\Gamma$ -subgroup of M if $M\Gamma A \subseteq A$.

Note 1. If M is zero-symmetric then every ideal is a $M\Gamma$ -subgroup. However, the converse need not be true. Consider the following example.

Example 1. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the ring of integers modulo 4 and $X = \{a, b\}$. Write $M = \{g|g : X \rightarrow G, g(a) = 0\} = \{g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$, where $g_i(a) = 0, g_i(b) = i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Let $\Gamma = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ where each $f_i : G \rightarrow X$ defined by

$f_1(i) = a(0 \leq i \leq 3)$, $f_2(i) = a(0 \leq i \leq 2)$, $f_2(3) = b$, $f_3(i) = a$ for $i \in \{0, 2, 3\}$, $f_3(1) = b$, $f_4(i) = a$ if $i \in \{0, 2\}$ and $f_4(i) = b$ if $i \notin \{0, 2\}$.

For $g \in M$, $f \in \Gamma$, $x \in G$, write $gfx = g(f(x))$. Now G becomes an $M\Gamma$ -group.

Further, $Y = \{0, 2\}$ is only the nontrivial subgroup and also $M\Gamma$ -subgroup, but not an ideal of G (since $3 \notin Y$ and $g_3f_2(1+2) - g_3f_2(1) = 3$).

Notation 1. Let P be an ideal of G . We define $(P : \Gamma G) = \{x \in M \mid x\Gamma G \subseteq P\}$.

Lemma 1. Let M be zero-symmetric and let P be an ideal of $M\Gamma$ -group G and B be an $M\Gamma$ -subgroup of G . If $B \subsetneq P$ then $(P : \Gamma B) = (P : \Gamma(P + B))$.

Proof. Clearly $B \subseteq P + B$. Take $a \in (P : \Gamma(P + B))$. Then $a\gamma(p + b) \in P$ for all $b \in B$, $p \in P$. Now $a\gamma b = a\gamma(0 + b) - a\gamma 0 \in P$ (since $P \trianglelefteq G$ and M is zero-symmetric). This implies $a \in (P : \Gamma B)$. Therefore $(P : \Gamma(P + B)) \subseteq (P : \Gamma B)$. Conversely, take $a \in (P : \Gamma B)$. To show $a\gamma(p + b) \in P$ for all $p \in P$, $b \in B$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Now $a\gamma(p + b) = (a\gamma(p + b)a\gamma b) + (a\gamma b) \in P$ (since $P \trianglelefteq G$ and $a\gamma b \in P$ (by converse hypo.)). This implies $a \in (P : \Gamma(P + B))$. Hence $(P : \Gamma B) = (P : \Gamma(P + B))$. $\square$

Notation 2. As the notation given in Reddy and Satyanarayana [10], for any non-empty subset A of G we write

- (1) $A^0 = \{x - y \mid x, y \in A\}$; and
- (2) $A^\# = \{n\gamma g \mid n \in M, g \in A, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

Let X be a non-empty subset of G and write $X_0 = X$, and $X_{i+1} = X_i^0 \cup X_i^\#$ for all integers $i \geq 0$. Then $X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots$ and clearly $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X_i$ is the $M\Gamma$ -subgroup generated by X .

Notation 3. For any $b \in M$, we denote $[b]_M$ as the $M\Gamma$ -subgroup of M generated by b .

Proposition 1. Let P be an ideal of G . Suppose that for any $M\Gamma$ -subgroup H of G such that $P \subset H$, we have $(P : \Gamma G) = (P : \Gamma H)$. Then for all $a \in M$ and $b \in G$, $a\Gamma[b]_M \subseteq P$ implies $a\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$.

Proof. Take $a \in M$, $b \in G$ such that $a\Gamma[b]_M \subseteq P$. Suppose $b \notin P$. Then we have the following cases.

Case 1: $P \subsetneq [b]_M$. Now $a\Gamma[b]_M \subseteq P$. This implies $a \in (P : \Gamma[b]_M) = (P : \Gamma M)$ (by hypothesis) $= (P : \Gamma G)$ (we considered with respect to M). This implies $a\Gamma G \subseteq P$.

Case 2: $[b]_M \subsetneq P$. Then there exists $x \in P$ such that $x \notin [b]_M$. This implies $P \subsetneq (P + [b]_M)$. By hypothesis $(P : \Gamma M) = (P : \Gamma(P + [b]_M))$. Now $a\Gamma[b]_M \subseteq P \implies a \in (P : \Gamma[b]_M) = (P : \Gamma G)$. This implies $a\Gamma G \subseteq P$.

□

Note 2. Let G be an $M\Gamma$ -group. Then a subgroup of G need not be an $M\Gamma$ -group, in general.

Consider the following example:

Example 2. Take $M = \{0, a, b, c\}$, $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ and $G = M$ with the following binary operations.

$+$	0	a	b	c	γ_1	0	a	b	c	γ_2	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	c	b	a	0	b	0	b	a	0	a	0	0
b	b	c	0	a	b	0	0	0	0	b	0	0	b	0
c	c	b	a	0	c	0	b	0	b	c	0	0	0	c

Clearly $(M, +, \Gamma)$ is a Γ -nearring, and G is an $M\Gamma$ -group. Now $H = \{0, c\}$ is a subgroup of G . But it is not an $M\Gamma$ -subgroup. For this, $c\gamma_1 c = b \notin \{0, c\} = H$. Therefore $M\Gamma H \not\subseteq H$. Hence H is not an $M\Gamma$ -subgroup of G .

3. PRIME IDEALS OF $M\Gamma$ -GROUPS.

Definition 2. Let P be a proper ideal of G such that $M\Gamma G \not\subseteq P$. Then P is called prime if $A\Gamma B \subseteq P \implies A\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$, for all ideals A of M , B of G .

Definition 3. An $M\Gamma$ -group G is said to be 0-prime $M\Gamma$ -group if $M\Gamma G \neq (0)$ and (0) is a prime ideal of G .

Example 3. Consider $M = \{0, a, b, c\}$, $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$, $G = M$ and the following binary operations.

$+$	0	a	b	c	γ_1	0	a	b	c	γ_2	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	c	b	a	0	a	0	0	a	0	a	0	0
b	b	c	0	a	b	0	0	0	0	b	0	0	0	a
c	c	b	a	0	c	0	b	0	0	c	0	0	0	a

Then M is a Γ -nearring, and G is an $M\Gamma$ -group. Since there are no ideals A, B of G such that $A\Gamma B = \{0\}$ we have that (0) is prime ideal of G .

Definition 4. (Satyanarayana, MBV Rao, Syam Prasad [14]): A left ideal P of a nearring N is said to be a prime left ideal if A and B are left ideals of N such that $AB \subseteq P$ implies $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$.

Example 4. Let N be a nearring and P be a prime left ideal of N . Write $M = N$ and consider M as the gamma nearring with $\Gamma = \{\cdot\}$ (here ' $\cdot$ ' deremarks the multiplication in N). Write $G = N$. Clearly G is an $M\Gamma$ -group. Then P becomes a prime ideal of the $M\Gamma$ -group G .

Verification: Let A be an ideal of M and B be an ideal of G such that $A\Gamma B \subseteq P$. This implies $AB \subseteq P$ (since $\Gamma = \{\cdot\}$). Since A, B are left ideals in N , we have that $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$. If $B \not\subseteq P$ then $A \subseteq P$. Since A is two sided ideal in N , we have $AN \subseteq A$. In the case $A \subseteq P$, we have that $A\Gamma G = AG = AN \subseteq A \subseteq P$.

Proposition 2. Let G be an $M\Gamma$ -group. Suppose $M\Gamma G \neq (0)$. If (0) is a prime ideal of G then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $B \neq (0)$ (where B is an ideal of G), and
- (2) $A\Gamma B = (0) \iff A \subseteq (0 : \Gamma G)$.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) : Suppose (1) holds. That is $B \neq (0)$. To show $A\Gamma B = (0) \iff A \subseteq (0 : \Gamma G)$, suppose $A\Gamma B = (0)$. Since (0) is prime and $B \neq (0)$, we have $A\Gamma G = (0)$. This implies $A \subseteq (0 : \Gamma G)$. Conversely suppose that $A \subseteq (0 : \Gamma G)$. This means $A\Gamma G = \{0\}$. Now $A\Gamma B \subseteq A\Gamma G \subseteq \{0\}$. This implies $A\Gamma B = (0)$.

(2) $\implies$ (1) : Suppose that $A\Gamma B = (0) \iff A \subseteq (0 : \Gamma G)$ holds. In a contrary way suppose that $B = (0)$. Then $M\Gamma B = (0) \implies M \subseteq (0 : \Gamma G)$ (by converse hypothesis) $\implies M\Gamma G = (0)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 3. Let G be an $M\Gamma$ -group such that $(P : \Gamma G) \neq M$. If P is a prime ideal of G then the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1) B is an ideal of G and $B \not\subseteq P$, and
 (2) $A\Gamma B \subseteq P \iff A \subseteq (P : \Gamma G)$.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) : Suppose B is an ideal of G and $B \not\subseteq P$. To show $A\Gamma B \subseteq P \iff A \subseteq (P : \Gamma G)$, suppose $A\Gamma B \subseteq P$. Since P is prime and $B \not\subseteq P$, we have $A\Gamma G \subseteq P$. This implies $A \subseteq (P : \Gamma G)$. Conversely suppose that $A \subseteq (P : \Gamma G)$. This means $A\Gamma G \subseteq P$. Now $A\Gamma B \subseteq A\Gamma G \subseteq P$. This implies $A\Gamma B \subseteq P$.

(2) $\implies$ (1) Suppose that $A\Gamma B \subseteq P \iff A \subseteq (P : \Gamma G)$ holds. In a contrary way suppose that $B \subseteq P$. Then $M\Gamma B \subseteq P \implies M \subseteq (P : \Gamma G)$ (by converse hypothesis) $\implies M\Gamma G \subseteq P$, a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 4. Let G be an $M\Gamma$ -group, P be an ideal of G , A and B be ideals of M then the following conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.

- (1) P is 0-prime.
 (2) $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$ implies that $\langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$.
 Moreover, if M is a zero symmetric Γ -nearring then conditions (1) to (4) are equivalent.
 (3) If M is zero symmetric, $a\Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$ implies that $a\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$.
 (4) $a\Gamma B \subseteq P$ implies that $a\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2) :

Suppose $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$. Write $A = \langle a \rangle$ and $B = \langle b \rangle$. Then $A\Gamma B \subseteq P$. This implies $A\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$ (by (1)) $\langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $\langle b \rangle \subseteq P \implies \langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$. Hence (2).

(2) $\implies$ (1): Suppose (2).

In contrary way suppose that (1) is not true.

Then there exists an ideal A of M , an ideal B of G such that $A\Gamma B \subseteq P$ but $A\Gamma G \not\subseteq P$ and $B \not\subseteq P$. This implies $a\gamma g \notin P$ for some $a \in A$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $g \in G$ and $b \in B \setminus P$.

Now $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq A\Gamma B \subseteq P$. By (2) we have that $\langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$. Since $b \notin P$ we have $\langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$.

Now $a\gamma g \in \langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$ implies $a\gamma g \in P$, a contradiction.

(2) $\implies$ (3): Suppose $a\Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$. This implies $a \in (P : \Gamma \langle b \rangle) \implies \langle a \rangle \subseteq (P : \Gamma \langle b \rangle)$ (since $(P : \Gamma \langle b \rangle)$ is an ideal and M is zero symmetric) $\implies \langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P \implies \langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$ (by (2))

$\implies a\Gamma G \subseteq \langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$. This proves (3).

(3) $\implies$ (2): Suppose $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$. Then $a\Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$. This implies $a\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$ (by (3)) $\implies a \in (P : \Gamma G)$ or $b \in P$
 $\implies \langle a \rangle \subseteq (P : \Gamma G)$ or $b \in P \implies \langle a \rangle \Gamma G \subseteq P$.

(3) $\implies$ (4): Suppose (3). In contrary way, suppose (4) is not true. Then $a\Gamma B \subseteq P$, $a\Gamma G \not\subseteq P$ and $B \not\subseteq P$ for some $a \in A$. So there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $g \in G$ such that $a\gamma g \notin P$ and $b \in B \setminus P$.

Now $a\Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq a\Gamma B \subseteq P \implies a\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $b \in P$ (by (3)) $\implies a\Gamma G \subseteq P$ (since $b \notin B \setminus P$) $\implies a\gamma g \in a\Gamma G \subseteq P$.

(4) $\implies$ (3): Suppose $a\Gamma \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$. Write $B = \langle b \rangle$. Now $a\Gamma B \subseteq P \implies a\Gamma G \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$ (by (4)) $\implies a\Gamma b \subseteq P$ or $b \in \langle b \rangle = B \subseteq P$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The first author acknowledges Acharya Nagarjuna University, the second author acknowledges Andhra Loyola College (Autonomous) for their kind support and encouragement third author acknowledges Manipal Institute of Technology, MAHE, Manipal.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. L. BOOTH: *A note on Gamma nearrings*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungarica, **23** (1988), 471-475.
- [2] G. L. BOOTH: *Radicals of Gamma nearrings*, Publ.Math.Debrecen, **39** (1990), 223-230.
- [3] G. L. BOOTH, N. J. GROENEWALD: *Special Radicals of Nearing Modules*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **15**(2) (1992), 127-137.
- [4] G. L. BOOTH., N. J. GROENEWALD: *Equiprime Gamma nearrings*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **14** (1991), 411 - 417.
- [5] G. PILZ: *Near-rings*, North Holland, 1983.
- [6] BH. SATYANARAYANA: *The f-Prime Radical in Γ - Nearrings*, South East. Bull. Math., **23** (1999), 507-511.
- [7] BH. SATYANARAYANA: *A Note on Γ - Near-rings*, Indian J. Mathematics, **41**(3) (1999), 427-433.
- [8] BH. SATYANARAYANA, K. SYAM PRASAD: *Fuzzy Cosets of Gamma Nearrings*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, **29** (2005), 11-22.
- [9] BH. SATYANARAYANA, K. SYAM PRASAD: *Nearrings, Fuzzy ideals and Graph theory*, CRC press (Taylor and Francis, U.K, U.S.A, 2013).

- [10] Y. V. REDDY, BH. SATYANARAYANA : *A Note on N-groups*, Indian J. Pure & Appl. Math., **19** (1988), 842- 845.
- [11] BH. SATYANARAYANA : *Contributions to Nearing Theory*, Doctoral Dissertation, Acharya Nagarjuna University, 1984.
- [12] BH. SATYANARAYANA : *Modules over Gamma Nearrings*, Acharya Nagarjuna International Journal of Mathematics and Information Technology, **1**(2) (2004), 109-120.
- [13] BH. SATYANARAYANA: *Contributions to Near-ring Theory*, VDM Verlag Dr Muller, Germany, 2010.
- [14] BH. SATYANARAYANA, R. MBV. LOKESWARA, K. SYAM PRASAD: *A Note on primeness in Near-rings and Matrix Near-rings*, Indian J. Pure & Appl. Math., **27** (1996), 227-234.
- [15] K. SYAM PRASAD, BH. SATYANARAYANA: *Fuzzy Prime Ideal of a Gamma Nearing*, Soochow J. Mathematics, **31** (2005), 121-129.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 ACHARYA NAGARJUNA UNIVERSITY
 NAGARJUNA NAGAR-522510, INDIA
E-mail address: bhavanari2002@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 ANDHRA LOYOLA COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)
 VIJAYAWADA-520008, INDIA
E-mail address: venugopalparuchuri@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 MANIPAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 MANIPAL ACADEMY OF HIGHER EDUCATION
 MANIPAL-576104, INDIA
E-mail address: kunchamsyamprasad@gmail.com

INSTITUTE OF ENERGY ENGINEERING
 DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
 NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY JHONGLI
 TAOYUAN, TAIWAN-32001, R.O.C.
E-mail address: bhavanarim@yahoo.co.in