

ON EXTENSION OF PRIME RADICAL IN 2-PRIMAL NEAR-RINGS

B. ELAVARASAN, K. PORSELVI¹, AND J. CATHERINE GRACE JOHN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study some characterizations of prime radical in 2-primal near-ring and introduce the notions of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideals and strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideals in 2-primal near-ring. Some equivalent conditions are established for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal to be a strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{N}$ denotes a zero symmetric right near-ring and all prime ideals are assumed to be proper in $\mathcal{N}$. For any undefined concepts and notations, we refer to Pilz [6]. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ denote the prime radical of $\mathcal{N}$, for any ideal L of $\mathcal{N}$, $P(L)$ denote the prime radical of L and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N})$ the set of nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{N}$. An ideal P_r of $\mathcal{N}$ is prime if for any ideals U, V of $\mathcal{N}$, $UV \subseteq P_r$ implies $U \subseteq P_r$ or $V \subseteq P_r$. An ideal M of $\mathcal{N}$ is semiprime ideal if for an ideal K of $\mathcal{N}$, $K^2 \subseteq M$ implies $K \subseteq M$. An ideal J of $\mathcal{N}$ is completely prime if for any $u', v' \in \mathcal{N}$, $u'v' \in J$ implies either $u' \in J$ or $v' \in J$. An ideal J of $\mathcal{N}$ is completely semiprime if for any $u \in \mathcal{N}$, $u^2 \in J$ implies $u \in J$. For any non-empty subsets R, S of $\mathcal{N}$, we denote the set $\{n \in \mathcal{N} : nS \subseteq R\}$ as $\langle R : S \rangle$. For every ideal Q_i and $K \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\langle Q_i : K \rangle$ is maximal element among $\{\langle Q_i : Q_1 \rangle : Q_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}, \langle Q_i : Q_1 \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}\}$ if and only if $\langle Q_i : K \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}$ and $\langle Q_i : K \rangle \subseteq \langle Q_i : T \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}$ implies that $\langle Q_i : K \rangle = \langle Q_i : T \rangle$ for any subset T of $\mathcal{N}$ [3]. If $\langle I_1 : K_1 \rangle$ is the maximal element among

¹corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. Near-rings, ideals, prime ideals, prime radical, multiplicative subset.

$\{ \langle I_1 : K_1 \rangle : K_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}, \langle I_1 : K_1 \rangle \neq \mathcal{N} \}$, then there is $c_1 \in \mathcal{N}$ with $c_1 \notin \langle I_1 : K_1 \rangle$ which implies $c_1 k_1 \notin I_1$ and $\langle I_1 : K_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle I_1 : k_1 \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}$ for some $k_1 \in K_1 \setminus I_1$. So $\langle I_1 : K_1 \rangle = \langle I_1 : k_1 \rangle$. If $\mathcal{N}$ has only one nilpotent element 0, then $\mathcal{N}$ is reduced. If $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N})$, then $\mathcal{N}$ is called 2-primal, see [1]. Clearly, every reduced near-ring is 2-primal, but 2-primal near-rings are not necessarily to be reduced, see Example 1.1 of [4]. If $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a completely semiprime ideal.

In [7], T. P. Speed has introduced the notion of Baer ideals in a commutative baer ring and later in [5], C. Jayaram has generalized baer ideals to a commutative semiprime ring and investigated properties of baer rings, regular rings and quasiregular rings by using baer ideals.

Following [5], an ideal J of $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq J$ is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal if $x \in J$ implies $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : x \rangle \rangle \subseteq J$. Also, an ideal J of $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq J$ is strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal if for any $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in \mathcal{N}$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : a_1 \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : b_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle$ and $a_1, b_1 \in J$ imply $c_1 \in J$. A subset $M (\neq \phi)$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is a multiplicative subset if $0 \notin M$ and for $a_1, b_1 \in M$ implies $a_1 b_1 \in M$. Let $D = \{c_1 \in \mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \cap D = \phi$ and D is a multiplicative closed subset of $\mathcal{N}$. For any multiplicative closed subset S of $\mathcal{N}$, we define $O(S) = \{c_1 \in \mathcal{N} | c_1 s \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ for some } s \in S\}$. For each multiplicative closed subset S of $\mathcal{N}$, $O(S)$ is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$. An ideal J of $\mathcal{N}$ is an $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -ideal if there exists a multiplicative subset M_1 of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $J = O(M_1)$. Let I and J be ideals of $\mathcal{N}$ with $J \subseteq I$, I is a J -ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ if $I = O(M_1)$ for some multiplicative subset M_1 of $\mathcal{N}$. If $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, then each minimal prime ideal is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal. Indeed, if $c_1 \in P_1$, where P_1 is minimal prime, then, by Theorem 3.5 of [4], $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle \not\subseteq P_1$ which implies $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle \rangle \subseteq P_1$, so P_1 is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal. Every $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -ideal is a strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal, and every strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal, see Lemma 2.2. For any subset T of $\mathcal{N}$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : T \rangle$ is a strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$.

Clearly intersection of strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideals (resp., $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideals) is again a strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal (resp., $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal). It should be noted that our definition of strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal ($\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal) will coincide with that of Jayaram (1984) in a commutative semiprime ring.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *If $\mathcal{N}$ is zero-symmetric and if P'' is an ideal of $\mathcal{N}$, then the statements given below are equivalent:*

- (i) P'' is prime,
- (ii) For any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, $c_1 < c_2 > \subseteq P''$ implies $c_1 \in P''$ or $c_2 \in P''$,
- (iii) If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ are ideals of $\mathcal{N}$, then $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n \subseteq P''$ implies $A_i \subseteq P''$ for some i .

Proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose $c_1 < c_2 > \subseteq P''$ for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $c_1 \in (P'' : < c_1 >)$. Since $(P'' : < c_1 >)$ is an ideal, $< c_1 > \subseteq (P'' : < c_2 >)$ and hence $< c_1 > < c_2 > \subseteq P''$ which implies $< c_1 > \subseteq P''$ or $< c_2 > \subseteq P''$. i.e., $c_1 \in P''$ or $c_2 \in P''$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ be ideals of $\mathcal{N}$ with $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n \subseteq P''$ and suppose that $A_n \not\subseteq P''$. We claim that $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n-1} \subseteq P''$. Let $c_1 \in A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n-1}$ and let $c_2 \in A_n \setminus P''$. Then $c_1 < c_2 > \subseteq P''$. Since $c_2 \notin P''$ by (ii), we have $c_1 \in P''$. Thus $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n-1} \subseteq P''$. Suppose $A_{n-1} \not\subseteq P''$. Then as earlier we can show that $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n-2} \subseteq P''$. Proceeding in this way we get (iii).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) It is obvious. □

Lemma 2.1. *If $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, then for any $Z' \subseteq \mathcal{N}$; $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, we have*

- (i) $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : Z' > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < Z' > >$ and $Z' \subseteq < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : Z' > >$,
- (ii) $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 > > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > > \cap < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > >$,
- (iii) If $c_1 c_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, then $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 + c_2 > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > \cap < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 >$.

Proof.

(i) For $t \in \mathcal{N}$, $t \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : Z' > \iff a Z' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \iff a < Z' > \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \iff a \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < Z' > >$. Also if $c_1 \in Z'$, then for any $t \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : Z' >$, we have $t c_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ which implies $c_1 \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : Z' > >$.

(ii) Clearly $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > > \cap < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > > \subseteq < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 > >$. If $t \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 > >$, then $t < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 > \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, so for $a \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > \subseteq < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 >$ and $b \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > \subseteq < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 >$, $t a \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $t b \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ imply $t \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > > \cap < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > >$. So $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 > > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > > \cap < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > >$.

(iii) If $t \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > \cap < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 >$, then $t c_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $t c_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ which imply $t(c_1 + c_2) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Thus $t \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 + c_2 >$. If $s \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 + c_2 >$, then

$s(c_1 + c_2) \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ implies $s(c_1 + c_2)c_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ and $s(c_1 + c_2)c_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$. Since $c_1c_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, we have $sc_2^2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ and $sc_1^2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ is completely semiprime, we have $sc_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ and $sc_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ which imply $s \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 \rangle$. Therefore $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 + c_2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 \rangle$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *If $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, $T = \{\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : Q \rangle : Q \subseteq \mathcal{N}, \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : Q \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}\}$ and $S \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ with $S \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, then the statements given below are equivalent:*

- (i) $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$ is maximal among T ,
- (ii) $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$ is completely prime,
- (iii) $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$ is minimal prime.

Proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By assumption, $\exists y \in S \setminus \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle$. Since $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y^2 \rangle$ and $y^3 \notin \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y^2 \rangle$. If $ab \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle$ and $a \notin \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle$ for some $a, b \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : ya \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}$. By the maximality of $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle$, we have $b \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : ya \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Suppose that Q is prime with $Q \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$. Let $y \in S \setminus \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ and $a \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$. Then $\langle a \rangle \langle y \rangle \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} \subseteq Q$. Since $y^2 \notin \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, we have $a \in Q$. So $Q = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) It follows from Corollary 1.3 of [2].

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : T \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}$. Then there is $y \in T$ such that $y \notin \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$. Let $a \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : T \rangle$. Then $ay \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$, so $a \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$ or $y \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$. Since $y^2 \notin \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, we have $y \notin \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$. Thus $a \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle$ and hence $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : S \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : T \rangle$. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *If $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, then the maximality and minimality conditions of the elements on the set $T = \{\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : A_1 \rangle : A_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}, \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : A_1 \rangle \neq \mathcal{N}\}$ are coincide.*

Proof. Suppose a.c.c. holds on the set T and let $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_1 \rangle \supseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_2 \rangle \supseteq \dots$ be a descending chain on the set T . Then $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_1 \rangle \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_2 \rangle \rangle \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain, which ends after finite steps.

Since $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_i \rangle \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_i \rangle$, so the descending chain $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_1 \rangle \rangle \rangle \supseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X_2 \rangle \rangle \rangle \supseteq \dots$ or the chain ends after finite steps. Similarly we can prove the converse part. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *For any $\mathcal{N} \neq \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, the statements given below are equivalent:*

•

- (i) $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal and, for every $c_1 \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle$ is contained in some maximal element among $T = \{ \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : X_n \rangle : X_n \subseteq \mathcal{N}, \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : X_n \rangle \neq \mathcal{N} \}$,
- (ii) The number of minimal completely prime ideals P_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is finite.

Proof.

$i) \Rightarrow ii)$ Assume that $c_1 c_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ for some $c_1 \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $c_2 \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Then there is a maximal element $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_3 \rangle$ in T such that $c_3 \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $c_1 \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_3 \rangle$. By Theorem 2.2, $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_3 \rangle$ is completely prime ideal. Consider the set of all distinct minimal completely prime ideals P_{α} of $\mathcal{N}$ where $P_{\alpha} = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha} \rangle$ ($\alpha \in I$) and $z_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let $P = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} P_{\alpha}$. Then $z_{\alpha} \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : P_{\alpha} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : P_{\alpha} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : P \rangle$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

We now claim that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} = P$. If not, then there is a maximal element $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\beta} \rangle$ in T with $z_{\beta} \notin \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : P \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\beta} \rangle$. So $P_{\beta} = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\beta} \rangle$ for some $\beta \in I$, but $z_{\beta} \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : P \rangle$, we have $z_{\beta}^2 \in z_{\beta} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : P \rangle \subseteq z_{\beta} \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\beta} \rangle = z_{\beta} P_{\beta} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, a contradiction. So $P = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} P_{\alpha} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$. We now prove that $|I|$ is finite. If not, then for some $\alpha_1 \in I$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle$ is not contained in all $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha} \rangle$ which implies $z_{\alpha_1} z_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha (\neq 1) \in I$. Take some $\alpha_2 \in I$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \not\subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_2} \rangle$ which implies $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_2} \rangle$. If $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_2} \rangle \neq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, then we have a descending chain $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_2} \rangle \supset \dots$. If $t(z_{\alpha_1} + z_{\alpha_2}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, then $tz_{\alpha_1} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $tz_{\alpha_2} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$. This shows that $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_2} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} + z_{\alpha_2} \rangle$. So the obtained descending chain $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : z_{\alpha_1} + z_{\alpha_2} \rangle \supset \dots$ not terminated, a contradiction to Theorem 2.3. Hence $|I|$ is finite.

$ii) \Rightarrow i)$ It is trivial as $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is reduced. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. Let N_1 be an ideal of a 2-primal near-ring $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq N_1$. If N_1 is strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal, then N_1 is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$.

Proof. Let N_1 be a strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$. For $c_1 \in N_1$ and let $c_2 \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle \rangle$. We now prove that $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 \rangle$. Clearly $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 \rangle$. Let $a \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 \rangle$. Then $ac_2 \in \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle$.

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 >$. Since $c_2 < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, we get $ac_2^2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ implies $ac_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, so $a \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 >$. Thus $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 >$ and hence $c_2 \in N_1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *If Q is an ideal of a 2-primal near-ring $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq Q$, then the statements given below are equivalent:*

- (i) Q is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal,
- (ii) For any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 >$ and $c_1 \in Q$ imply $c_2 \in Q$,
- (iii) $Q = \bigcup_{c_1 \in Q} < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > >$.

Proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are evident.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) For any $c_1 \in Q$ and $c_2 \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > >$, we have $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > \subseteq < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 >$ and $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > \cup < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 c_2 >$ as $c_2 < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Since $c_1 c_2 \in Q$, we have $c_2 \in Q$. So, $\bigcup_{c_1 \in Q} < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > > \subseteq Q$. Since for any $c_1 \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$c_1 \in < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > >$. Thus $Q \subseteq \bigcup_{c_1 \in Q} < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > >$ and hence

$$Q = \bigcup_{c_1 \in Q} < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > > . \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.4. *If Q is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal of a 2-primal near-ring $\mathcal{N}$, then $Q = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$.*

Proof. Let $c_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$. Then, by Proposition 2.94 of [6], we can find a positive integer n such that $c_1^n \in Q$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, we get $< \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 > = < \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1^n >$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $c_1 \in Q$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q) \subseteq Q$ and hence $Q = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *For every strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal Q of a 2-primal near-ring $\mathcal{N}$, we have $Q = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}(Q)$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *If I_1 is a reflexive ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ and P'' is prime with $I_1 \subseteq P''$, then the statements given below are equivalent:*

- (i) P'' is a minimal prime,
- (ii) For every $a \in P''$, there exist $x_i \in \mathcal{N} \setminus P''$ such that $a^{t_0} x_1 a^{t_1} x_2 a^{t_2} x_3 \dots x_n a^{t_n} \in I_1$, where t_i 's are positive integers with t_0 and t_n allowed to be zero.

Proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $a \in P''$ and $T = \{a^{t_0}x_1a^{t_1}x_2a^{t_2}x_3\dots x_na^{t_n}, \text{ where } x_i \in \mathcal{N} \setminus P'' \text{ and } t_i\text{'s are the positive integers with } t_0 \text{ and } t_n \text{ allowed to be zero}\}$. Then $F = T \cup (\mathcal{N} \setminus P'')$ is a multiplicative closed subset of $\mathcal{N}$. If $I_1 \cap F = \phi$, then, by Proposition 2.1.6 of [1], there exists a proper maximal ideal M_1 with $M_1 \cap F = \phi$. Since $a \notin M_1$, we have $M_1 + \langle a \rangle = \mathcal{N}$ which implies $b + c = 1$ for some $b \in M_1$ and $c \in \langle a \rangle$. Since $a \in P''$, we have $b \in \mathcal{N} \setminus P''$. So $b \in M_1 \cap F \neq \{\phi\}$, a contradiction. Thus $I_1 \cap F \neq \phi$ and hence $I_1 \cap T \neq \{\phi\}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that K is a prime ideal with $I_1 \subseteq K \subseteq P''$. Then for any $a \in P''$, there are $x_i \in \mathcal{N} \setminus P''$ such that $a^{t_0}x_1a^{t_1}x_2a^{t_2}x_3\dots x_na^{t_n} \in I_1$ where $t_i\text{'s are positive integers with } t_0 \text{ and } t_n \text{ allowed to be zero}$. Since I_1 is reflexive ideal, we have $\langle a \rangle^{t_0} \langle x_1 \rangle \langle a \rangle^{t_1} \langle x_2 \rangle \dots \langle x_n \rangle \langle a \rangle^{t_n} \subseteq I_1 \subseteq K$ which implies $a \in K$. Thus $P'' \subseteq K$ and hence P'' is a minimal prime. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a 2-primal near-ring and K a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal (resp., strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal) of $\mathcal{N}$. Then each minimal prime ideal P of $\mathcal{N}$ containing K is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal (resp., strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal) of $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose that K is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal and P is minimal prime containing K . Let $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_2 \rangle$ and $c_1 \in P$. Then by Theorem 2.5, there exist $x_i \in \mathcal{N} \setminus P$ such that $c_1^{t_0}x_1c_1^{t_1}x_2c_1^{t_2}x_3\dots x_nc_1^{t_n} \in K$ where $t_i\text{'s are positive integers with } t_0 \text{ and } t_n \text{ allowed to be zero}$. Since $\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : x_1x_2\dots x_nc_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : x_1x_2\dots x_nc_2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} : c_1^{t_0}x_1c_1^{t_1}x_2c_1^{t_2}x_3\dots x_nc_1^{t_n} \rangle$ and K is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal, we have $x_1x_2\dots x_nc_2 \in K$ and so $x_1x_2\dots x_nc_2 \in P$. Consequently $c_2 \in P$ as $x_i\text{'s are not in } P$. Therefore P is a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a 2-primal near-ring. Then every $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal (resp., strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideal) of $\mathcal{N}$ is the intersection of every prime $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideals (resp., prime strongly $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -Baer ideals) containing it.*

Proof. It is evident from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a 2-primal near-ring and Q be a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -ideal of $\mathcal{N}$. Then every minimal prime ideal belonging to Q is a minimal prime ideal of $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Let Q be a $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -ideal and P a minimal prime ideal belonging to Q . Then $Q = O(K)$ for some multiplicative subset K of $\mathcal{N}$ and Q is reflexive. By Theorem 2.5, we claim that for each $q \in P$, there exist $x_i \in \mathcal{N} \setminus P$ such that

$q^{t_0}x_1q^{t_1}x_2q^{t_2}x_3\dots x_nq^{t_n} \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, where t_i 's are positive integers. Let $q \in P$. Then, by Theorem 2.5, there exist $x_i \in \mathcal{N} \setminus P$ such that $q^{t_0}x_1q^{t_1}x_2q^{t_2}x_3\dots x_nq^{t_n} \in Q = O(K)$, so $q^{t_0}x_1q^{t_1}x_2q^{t_2}x_3\dots x_nq^{t_n}d \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ for some $d \in K$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, we have $q < d > < x_1 > < x_2 > \dots < x_n > \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$. Clearly $P \cap K = \phi$ and therefore $< d > < x_1 > < x_2 > \dots < x_n > \not\subseteq P$. So there exists $y \in < d > < x_1 > < x_2 > \dots < x_n > \setminus P$ such that $qy \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$. Hence P is minimal prime. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that for each $u \in \mathcal{N}$, there is $v \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $< \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : u > > = < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : v > >$. Then every $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal is strongly $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal.

Proof. Assume that for each $u \in \mathcal{N}$, there is $v \in \mathcal{N}$ with $< \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : u > > = < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : v > >$. Let Q be a $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ and $< \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 > \cap < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 > = < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_3 >$ for $c_1, c_2 \in Q$. By assumption, there exist $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ with $< \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 > > = < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c'_1 >$ and $< \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 > > = < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c'_2 >$. Since $c_1 \in < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 > >$ and $c_2 \in < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 > >$, we have $c_1c'_1, c_2c'_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ and $c_1 + c'_1, c_2 + c'_2 \in D$. Suppose $c_3 \notin Q$. By Lemma 2.4, there is a prime $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal P of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $Q \subseteq P$ and $c_3 \notin P$. Since $c_3c'_1c'_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$, we get $c'_1 \in P$ or $c'_2 \in P$. But in either case we have $P \cap D \neq \phi$, as P is a $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal. Thus $c_3 \in Q$ and hence Q is a strongly $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a 2-primal with identity, then the statements given below are equivalent:

- (i) Every ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ containing $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -ideal,
- (ii) Every ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ containing $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ is strongly $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal,
- (iii) Every ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ containing $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal,
- (iv) For any $s, t \in \mathcal{N}$, $< \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : s > = < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : t >$ implies $< s > = < t >$,
- (v) For any $s \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $s + s^2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$.

Proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let K' be an ideal of $\mathcal{N}$. Then $K' = O(R')$ for some multiplicative subset R' of $\mathcal{N}$. Let $c_1, c_2 \in K'$ with $< \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 > \cap < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 > = < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : z >$ for some $z \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $c_1s_1, c_2s_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in R'$. Since $s_1, s_2 \in R'$ and $s_1s_2 \in < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 > \cap < \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 >$, we have $(s_1s_2)z \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$. Thus $z = O(R') = K'$ and hence K' is a strongly $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) It is evident from the fact that each $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ is a strongly $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) It is trivial as every strongly $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) For each $s \in \mathcal{N}$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : s \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : s^2 \rangle$. By (iv), $\langle s \rangle = \langle s^2 \rangle$ which implies $s + s^2 \in \langle s \rangle + \langle s^2 \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let I_1 be an ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} \subseteq I_1$ and let $t \in I_1$. Then $(1+t)t \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$. Take $I_* = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : z \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : x \rangle \text{ for some } z \in I_1\}$. Then I_* is a multiplicative closed subset of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : t \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : 1+t \rangle \rangle$ which imply $1+t \in I_*$ and $t \in O(I_*)$, so $I_1 \subseteq O(I_*)$. Let $r \in O(I_*)$. Then $rs \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ for some $s \in I_*$ with $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : z \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : s \rangle \rangle$ for some $z \in I_1$. By (v), $(1+z)z \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ implies $1+z \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : s \rangle \rangle$. Since $r \in \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : s \rangle$, we have $(1+z)r = r + zr \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} \subseteq I_1$ which implies $r \in I_1$.

Thus $O(I_*) \subseteq I_1$ and hence I_1 is $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -ideal. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *If $\mathcal{N}$ is 2-primal, then the statements given below are equivalent:*

- (i) *For any $c_1 \in \mathcal{N}$, there is $c_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_1 \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : c_2 \rangle$,*
- (ii) *Every $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ containing $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ is an $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -ideal,*
- (iii) *Every strongly $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -Baer ideal of $\mathcal{N}$ containing $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ is an $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -ideal,*
- (iv) *For $X \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : X \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ -ideal.*

Proof.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) It is evident from Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.6. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) are obvious. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let $n \in I$. Then by (iv), $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : n \rangle \rangle = O(S)$ for some multiplicative subset S of $\mathcal{N}$ and $ns \in \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N}$ for some $s \in S$ which imply $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : n \rangle \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle$. Also $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle \subseteq O(S) = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : n \rangle \rangle$. Therefore $\langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : n \rangle \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{N} : y \rangle$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. BIRKENMEIER, H. HEATHERLY, E. LEE: *Prime Ideals and Prime Radicals in Near-Rings*, Mh. Math., **117** (1994), 179–197.
- [2] G. BIRKENMEIER, H. HEATHERLY, E. LEE: *Completely Prime Ideals and Radicals in Near-Rings*, Near-Rings and Near-Fields, Mathematics and Its Applications, **336** (1995), 63–73.
- [3] W. H. CORNISH, P. N. STEWART: *Rings with no nilpotent elements and with the maximum condition on annihilators*, Canad. Math. Bull., **17**(1) (1974), 35–38.
- [4] P. DHEENA, B. ELAVARASAN: *An Ideal-Based Zero-Divisor Graph of 2-Primal Near-rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **46**(6) (2009), 1051–1060.

- [5] C. JAYARAM: *Baer ideals in commutative semiprime rings*, Indian J. pure appl. Math., **15**(8) (1984), 855–864.
- [6] G. PILZ: *Near-Rings*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [7] T. P. SPEED: *A note on commutative Baer rings*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., **14** (1968), 257–263.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KARUNYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCES
COIMBATORE - 641 114, INDIA
Email address: belavarasan@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KARUNYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCES
COIMBATORE - 641 114, INDIA
Email address: porselvi94@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KARUNYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCES
COIMBATORE - 641 114, INDIA
Email address: catherinegracejohn@gmail.com