

## SEQUENCING OF $n$ JOBS ON THREE MACHINES WITH ARBITRARY LAGS

DEEPAK GUPTA, PAYAL SINGLA, AND SOURAV SINGLA<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper the concept of arbitrary lags (start lag and stop lag) in  $n$ -jobs, 3-machines flow shop scheduling problem involving the processing time associated with their respective probabilities and transportation time of jobs is being studied. The objective of the study is to propose an algorithm by which we can minimize the make-span in three stage flow shop scheduling problem. A numerical illustration is given to demonstrate the computational efficiency of proposed algorithm as a valuable analytical tool for the researchers.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

At present period, every manufacturer has to concentrate on innovative ways of production agenda to get success in today's competition of marketing area. For this one should use available resources fruitfully and plan a proper schedule so that the purpose of optimization of production can be achieved. The theory of scheduling is basically focused on Johnson's result of two machines. The analysis of all flow shop scheduling problems can be done by using this result. Proper planning of scheduling in production and marketing is effective in decreasing production price, superior quality of product to satisfy the demands of consumer in the competition of market. By the time lag we meant the minimum

---

<sup>1</sup>*corresponding author*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65K10.

*Key words and phrases.* Flow Shop, Start Lags, Stop Lags, Transportation Time.

time delay which is required between the executions of two consecutive operations of the same job. The start lag ( $D_i > 0$ ) is the minimum time which must elapse between starting job  $i$  on the first machine and starting it on the second machine. The stop lag ( $E_i > 0$ ) for the job  $i$  is the minimum time which elapsed between the completion of it on second machine. [1] Johnson gave procedure for finding the optimal schedule for  $n$ -jobs, two machine flow-shop problem with minimization of the make span (i.e. total elapsed time) as the objective. Also Mitten and Johnson [2, 3] separately gave a solution algorithm of obtaining an optimal sequence of  $n$ -job, 2-machine flow shop scheduling problem in which each job involves arbitrary time lags (start-lags, stop-lags). Maggu and Das [4] gives solution algorithm of obtaining optimal sequence for an  $n$ -job, 2-machine flow shop scheduling problem where in each job involves transportation time. Yoshida and Hitomi [5] were among the first to investigate the flow shop where separated from processing times with their extension of Johnson's rule. Their algorithm focused on an extension of Johnson's algorithm originally developed for a two-machine flow shop problem with setup times included. Singh T.P. [6] presents optimal  $n \times 2$  flow shop problem solving job block, Transportation times, Arbitrary time and Break-down machine time. Kern, W. and Nawjin, W.M. [7] present optimal production Scheduling with arbitrary time lags on a single machine. Riezebos, J. and Goalman, G.J.C. [8] discussed the flow shop problem with time lags. Singh, T.P. and Gupta, D. [9] worked on Optimal two stage production schedule with group jobs restrictions having set up times separated from processing time associated with probabilities. Gupta, D. and Kumar, R. [10] studied on three stage production schedule the processing time and set up times associated with probabilities including job block. Gupta, D. Bala, S. and Singla, P. [11] discussed on two stage open shop specially structured scheduling to minimize the rental cost, processing time associated with probabilities including transportation time. Gupta, D. Bala, S. Singla P. and Sharma S. [12] discussed on 3-stage specially structured flow shop scheduling to minimize the rental cost including transportation time, job weightage and job block criteria. Gupta, D. and Singh, H. [13] application of idle/ waiting time operator  $O_{i,w}$  on two stage flow shop scheduling problem with arbitrary lags. Here we extend the work made by Mitten, L.G. and Johnson, S.M. [3]- [2] by taking three machines in which jobs are processed on these machines. Thus this

paper is more wider and practically more applicable and has significant results in the process industry.

## 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

### 2.1. Assumptions.

- (1) No passing is allowed.
- (2) Each operation once started must performed till completion.
- (3) Jobs are independent to each other.
- (4) No job may be processed by more than one machine at a time.

### 2.2. Notations. S: Sequence of job 1, 2, 3,..., n.

$M_j$ : Machine  $j$ ,  $j=1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ .

$A_i$ : Processing time of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_1$ .

$B_i$ : Processing time of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_2$ .

$C_i$ : Processing time of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_3$ .

$A\alpha_i$ : Expected processing time of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_1$ .

$B\alpha_i$ : Expected processing time of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_2$ .

$C\alpha_i$ : Expected processing time of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_3$ .

$p_i$ : Probability associated to the processing time  $A_i$  of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_1$ .

$q_i$ : Probability associated to the processing time  $B_i$  of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_2$ .

$r_i$ : Probability associated to the processing time  $C_i$  of  $i^{th}$  job on machine  $M_3$ .

$D_{i1}$ : Start lag of  $i^{th}$  job from machine  $M_1$  to  $M_2$ .

$E_{i1}$ : Stop lag of  $i^{th}$  job from machine  $M_1$  to  $M_2$ .

$D_{i2}$ : Start lag of  $i^{th}$  job from machine  $M_2$  to  $M_3$ .

$E_{i2}$ : Stop lag of  $i^{th}$  job from machine  $M_2$  to  $M_3$ .

$t_i$ : Transportation time of  $i^{th}$  job from  $M_1$  machine to  $M_2$  machine.

$g_i$ : Transportation time of  $i^{th}$  job from  $M_2$  machine to  $M_3$  machine.

$t'_i$ : Effective transportation time of  $i^{th}$  job from  $M_1$  machine to  $M_2$  machine.

$g'_i$ : Effective transportation time of  $i^{th}$  job from  $M_2$  machine to  $M_3$  machine.

jobs	Machine $M_1$		Transportation Time	Machine $M_2$		Transportation Time	Machine $M_3$		Start lag		Stop lag	
I	$A_i$	$p_i$	$t_i$	$B_i$	$q_i$	$g_i$	$C_i$	$r_i$	$D_{i1}$	$D_{i2}$	$E_{i1}$	$E_{i2}$
1.	$A_1$	$p_1$	$t_1$	$B_1$	$q_1$	$g_1$	$C_1$	$r_1$	$D_{11}$	$D_{12}$	$E_{11}$	$E_{12}$
2.	$A_2$	$p_2$	$t_2$	$B_2$	$q_2$	$g_2$	$C_2$	$r_2$	$D_{21}$	$D_{22}$	$E_{21}$	$E_{22}$
3.	$A_3$	$p_3$	$t_3$	$B_3$	$q_3$	$g_3$	$C_3$	$r_3$	$D_{31}$	$D_{32}$	$E_{31}$	$E_{32}$
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
n.	$A_n$	$p_n$	$t_n$	$B_n$	$q_n$	$g_n$	$C_n$	$r_n$	$D_{n1}$	$D_{n2}$	$E_{n1}$	$E_{n2}$

Obtained optimal sequence of jobs so as minimize the make-span.

### 3. ALGORITHM

**Step 1:** Define expected processing time  $A\alpha_i$ ,  $B\alpha_i$  and  $C\alpha_i$  on machine  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  respectively as follows:

1.  $A\alpha_i = A_i \times p_i$
2.  $B\alpha_i = B_i \times q_i$
3.  $C\alpha_i = C_i \times r_i$

**Step 2:** Define effective transportation time  $t'_i$  and  $g'_i$  of  $i^{th}$  job from machine  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  and machine  $M_2$  to  $M_3$  respectively as follows:

1.  $t'_i = \max(D_{i1} - A\alpha_i, E_{i1} - B\alpha_i, t_i)$
2.  $g'_i = \max(D_{i2} - B\alpha_i, E_{i2} - C\alpha_i, g_i)$

**Step 3:** Compute processing time by creating two fictitious machines G and H with their processing time  $G_i$  and  $H_i$  respectively as follows:

$$G_i = |A\alpha_i + B\alpha_i + t'_i + g'_i| \text{ and } H_i = |B\alpha_i + C\alpha_i + t'_i + g'_i|$$

if, either  $\min(A\alpha_i + t'_i) \geq \max(B\alpha_i + t'_i)$  or  $\min(C\alpha_i + g'_i) \geq \max(B\alpha_i + g'_i)$ . **Step**

**4:** Apply Johnson's (1954) technique to obtain the optimal string  $S_i$  for the new reduced problem obtained in step 3.

**Step 5:** Compute the In-Out table for the sequence obtained in step 4.

### 4. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATION

Consider the 5 - jobs and 3 - machines problem with processing time associated with their respective probabilities, transportation time and arbitrary lags ( start lag and stop lag ) of jobs given as:

jobs	Machine $M_1$		Transportation Time	Machine $M_2$		Transportation Time	Machine $M_3$		Start lag		Stop lag	
I	$A_i$	$p_i$	$t_i$	$B_i$	$q_i$	$g_i$	$C_i$	$r_i$	$D_{i1}$	$D_{i2}$	$E_{i1}$	$E_{i2}$
1.	40	.3	5	30	.2	4	40	.1	18	12	13	11
2.	55	.2	4	40	.2	3	60	.1	14	9	11	10
3.	40	.2	7	30	.1	2	35	.2	15	11	9	12
4.	35	.2	6	25	.2	5	40	.2	8	6	7	9
5.	80	.1	5	20	.3	4	15	.4	12	8	7	8

Obtained optimal sequence of jobs so as minimize the make-span.

**Solution:**

Step1:First of all we find the expected processing time by multiplying the processing time with their respective probabilities.

Jobs	Machine $M_1$	Transportation Time	Machine $M_2$	Transportation Time	Machine $M_3$	Start lag		Stop lag	
I	$A\alpha_i$	$t_i$	$B\alpha_i$	$g_i$	$C\alpha_i$	$D_{i1}$	$D_{i2}$	$E_{i1}$	$E_{i2}$
1.	12	5	6	4	4	18	12	13	11
2.	11	4	8	3	6	14	9	11	10
3.	8	7	3	2	7	15	11	9	12
4.	7	6	5	5	8	8	6	7	9
5.	8	5	6	4	6	12	8	7	8

**Step 2:** Define effective transportation time  $t'_i$  and  $g'_i$  of  $i^{th}$  job from machine  $M_1$  to  $M_2$  and machine  $M_2$  to  $M_3$  respectively as follows:

1.  $t'_i = \max(D_{i1} - A\alpha_i, E_{i1} - B\alpha_i, t_i)$
2.  $g'_i = \max(D_{i2} - B\alpha_i, E_{i2} - C\alpha_i, g_i)$

Jobs	Machine $M_1$	Effective Transportation Time	Machine $M_2$	Effective Transportation Time	Machine $M_3$
I	$A\alpha_i$	$t'_i$	$B\alpha_i$	$g'_i$	$C\alpha_i$
1.	12	7	6	7	4
2.	11	4	8	4	6
3.	8	7	3	8	7
4.	7	6	5	5	8
5.	8	5	6	4	6

**Step 3:** Now we check the condition discussed in the algorithm, and here  $\min(A\alpha_i + t'_i) \geq \max(B\alpha_i + t'_i)$  satisfied. So we create two fictious machines G and H with their processing time  $G_i$  and  $H_i$  as follows:

$$G_i = |A\alpha_i + B\alpha_i + t'_i + g'_i| \text{ and } H_i = |B\alpha_i + C\alpha_i + t'_i + g'_i|$$

Jobs	Factious Machine G	Factious Machine H
I	$G_i$	$H_i$
1.	32	24
2.	27	22
3.	26	25
4.	23	24
5.	23	21

**Step 4:** Now by using Johnson's technique, optimal sequence is S=4,3,1,2,5.

**Step 5:** In-Out table for sequence S is as follows:

Jobs	Machine $M_1$	Effective Transportation Time	Machine $M_2$	Effective Transportation Time	Machine $M_3$
I	In-Out	$t'_i$	In-Out	$g'_i$	In-Out
4.	0-7	6	13-18	5	23-31
3.	7-15	7	22-25	8	33-40
1.	15-27	7	34-40	7	47-51
2.	27-38	4	42-50	4	54-60
5.	38-46	5	51-57	4	61-67

Minimum Make-Span for the given problem is 67 units.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The present study deal with the flow shop scheduling problem with the main idea to minimize the total elapsed time of jobs. The work can be extended by considering various parameters such as equivalent job block, setup times separated from processing time, break-down interval, mean weightage time etc.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. M. JOHNSON: *Optimal two and three stage production schedules with set-up times included*, Naval Res., Log. Quart., **1** (1954), 61–68.
- [2] S. M. JOHNSON: *Discussion: Sequencing  $n$  jobs on two machines with arbitrary time lags*, Management Science, **5** (1959), 299–302.
- [3] L. G. MITTEN: *Sequencing  $n$  jobs on two machines with arbitrary time lag*, Management Science **5** (1959), 293–298.
- [4] P. L. MAGGU, G. DAS: *On  $2 \times n$  sequencing problem with transportation times of Jobs*, Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences, **12**(1-2) (1980), 1–6.
- [5] T. YOSHIDA, K. HITOMI: *Optimal two-stage production scheduling with setup times separated*, AIIE Transactions, **11**(1) (1979), 216–273.
- [6] T. P. SINGH: *On  $n \times 2$  flow shop problem solving job block, Transportation times, Arbitrary time and Break-down machine times*, PAMS, **21**(1-2) (1985), 23–33.
- [7] W. KERN, W. M. NAWJIN: *Scheduling multi-operation jobs with time lags on a single machine*, Working paper University of Twente, Holland, 1991.
- [8] J. RIEZEBOS, G. J. C. GOALMAN: *Time lag size in multiple operations flowshop Scheduling heuristics*, European journal of operation research, **105** (1998), 72–90.
- [9] T. P. SINGH, D. GUPTA: *Optimal two stage production schedule with group jobs restrictions having set up times separated from processing time associated with probabilities*, Journal reflections des ERA JMS, **1** (2006), 53–70.
- [10] T. P. SINGH, D. GUPTA, R. KUMAR: *Optimal two stage production schedule with Group job-restrictions having set up times separated from processing time associated with probabilities*, Reflections des ERA, (JMS) **1** (2006), 53–70.
- [11] D. GUPTA, S. BALA, P. SINGLA: *Optimal Two Stage Open Shop Specially Structured Scheduling To Minimize the Rental Cost, processing time Associated with Probabilities including transportation time*, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, **3**(3) (2012), 1–6.
- [12] D. GUPTA, S. BALA, P. SINGLA, A. SHARMA : *3- stage Specially Structured Flow Shop Scheduling to Minimize the Rental Cost Including Transportation Time, Job Weightage and Job Block Criteria*, European Journal of Business and Management, **7**(4) (2015), 56–64.
- [13] D. GUPTA, H. SINGH: *Application of idle/ waiting time operator  $O_{i,w}$  on two stage flow shop scheduling problem with arbitrary lags*, International Institute for Science, Technology and Education, **5**(2) (2015), 87–96.

M. M. (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

MULLANA, AMBALA.

*E-mail address:* guptadeepak2003@yahoo.co.in

GURU NANAK COLLEGE

KILLIANWALI, SRI MUKTSAR SAHIB PUNJAB

*E-mail address:* payalsingla@gmail.com

M. M. (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

MULLANA, AMBALA

*E-mail address:* sourav10singla@gmail.com