

NEUTROSOPHIC SET IN INK-ALGEBRA

M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA ¹, AND V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN

ABSTRACT. The notion of neutrosophic INK-Algebra, neutrosophic INK-filter, neutrosophic near INK-filter, neutrosophic ideal and neutrosophic INK-ideal of INK-algebra are introduced, and several properties are investigated. Condition for neutrosophic sets to be neutrosophic INK-filter, neutrosophic near INK-filter, neutrosophic ideal and neutrosophic INK-ideal of INK-algebra are provided. Relation between neutrosophic sub algebra and neutrosophic INK-ideal are considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965 Zadeh introduced the fuzzy set theory, then so many researchers applied fuzzy set in BCI/BCK-algebras. Also, Atanassov introduced the intuitionistic fuzzy set on the universal set X as generalization of fuzzy set in 1986. Kaviyarasu, Indhira and Chandrasekaran introduced a new algebraic structure called INK-algebra and also, they applied fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, Translation and interval-valued concepts in INK-algebras, see [1–11].

In this paper, the notions of neutrosophic INK-subalgebras, neutrosophic near INK-filters, neutrosophic INK-filters, neutrosophic ideals, and neutrosophic INK-ideals of INK-algebras are introduced, and several properties are investigated.

¹*corresponding author*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03G25, 03B52, 03B60.

Key words and phrases. INK-algebra, neutrosophic INK-subalgebra, neutrosophic ideal, neutrosophic INK-ideal, neutrosophic INK-filter, neutrosophic near INK-filter.

Conditions for neutrosophic sets to be neutrosophic INK-subalgebras, neutrosophic near INK-filters, neutrosophic INK-filters, neutrosophic ideals, and neutrosophic INK-ideals of INK-algebras are provided.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Before we begin our study, we will give the definition and useful properties of INK-algebras.

Definition 2.1. An algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is called a *INK-algebra* if you meet the ensuing conditions for every $x, y, z \in X$.

$$\text{INK-1: } ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0.$$

$$\text{INK-2: } ((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0.$$

$$\text{INK-3: } x * 0 = x.$$

$$\text{INK-4: } x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \text{ imply } x = y.$$

Definition 2.2. A non-empty subset S of a INK-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be a *subalgebra of X* , if $x * y \in S$, whenever $x, y \in S$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a INK-algebra. A nonempty subset I of X is called an *ideal of X* if it satisfies

$$(i) \ 0 \in I,$$

$$(ii) \ x * y \in I \text{ and } y \in I \text{ imply } x \in I \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \text{ Any ideal } I \text{ has the property that } y \in I \text{ and } x \leq y \text{ imply } x \in I.$$

Definition 2.4. let I be a non-empty subset of a INK-algebra X . Then I is called a *INK-ideal of X* , if

$$(i) \ 0 \in I.$$

$$(ii) \ ((z * x) * (z * y)) \in I \text{ and } y \in I \text{ imply } x \in I \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 2.5. A nonempty subset S of a INK-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is called a *near INK-filter of X* if

$$(i) \ \text{The constant } 0 \text{ of } X \text{ is in } S,$$

$$(ii) \ y \in S \Rightarrow x * y \in S \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Definition 2.6. A nonempty subset S of a INK-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is called a *INK-filter of X* if

- (i) The constant 0 of X is in S ,
- (ii) $x * y \in S, x \in S \Rightarrow y \in S$ for all $x, y \in X$.

3. NEUTROSOPHIC SET IN INK-ALGEBRA

In this section we applied neutrosophic set in INK-algebra.

Definition 3.1. A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in a nonempty set X is a structure of the form $\wedge = \{(x, \lambda_T(x), \lambda_I(x), \lambda_F(x)) \mid x \in X\}$, where $\lambda_T : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, is a truth membership function $\lambda_I : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a indeterminate membership function and $\lambda_F : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a false membership function.

Definition 3.2. A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in X is called a neutrosophic INK-subalgebra of X if it satisfies the following condition, for all $x, y, z \in X$

- (i) $\lambda_T(x * y) \geq \min \{\lambda_T(x), \lambda_T(y)\}$
- (ii) $\lambda_I(x * y) \leq \max \{\lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y)\}$
- (iii) $\lambda_F(x * y) \geq \min \{\lambda_F(x), \lambda_F(y)\}$.

Definition 3.3. A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in X is called a neutrosophic near INK-filter of X if it satisfies the following condition, for all $x, y \in X$.

- (i) $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x), \lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$, and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$
- (ii) $\lambda_T(x * y) \geq \lambda_T(x)$
- (iii) $\lambda_I(x * y) \leq \lambda_I(x)$
- (iv) $\lambda_F(x * y) \geq \lambda_F(x)$.

Definition 3.4. A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in X is called a neutrosophic INK-filter of X if it satisfies the following condition, for all $x, y \in X$.

- (i) $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x), \lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$, and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$.
- (ii) $\lambda_T(y) \geq \min \{\lambda_T(x * y), \lambda_T(x)\}$
- (iii) $\lambda_I(y) \leq \max \{\lambda_I(x * y), \lambda_I(x)\}$
- (iv) $\lambda_F(y) \geq \min \{\lambda_F(x * y), \lambda_F(x)\}$.

Definition 3.5. A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in X is called a neutrosophic ideal of X if it satisfies the following condition, for all $x, y \in X$.

- (i) $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x), \lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$, and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$
- (ii) $\lambda_T(x) \geq \min \{\lambda_T(x * y), \lambda_T(y)\}$
- (iii) $\lambda_I(x) \leq \max \{\lambda_I(x * y), \lambda_I(y)\}$

$$(iv) \lambda_F(x) \geq \min \{ \lambda_F(x * y), \lambda_F(y) \}.$$

Definition 3.6. A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in X is called a neutrosophic INK-ideal of X if it satisfies the following condition, for all $x, y \in X$.

- (i) $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x)$, $\lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$, and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$
- (ii) $\lambda_T(x) \geq \min \{ \lambda_T((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_T(y) \}$
- (iii) $\lambda_I(x) \leq \max \{ \lambda_I((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_I(y) \}$
- (iv) $\lambda_F(x) \geq \min \{ \lambda_F((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_F(y) \}.$

Example 1. let $X = \{0, 1, a, b\}$ be a INK-algebra with a fixed element 0 and a binary operation $*$ defined by the following Cayley table

$*$	0	1	a	b
0	0	0	a	a
1	1	1	0	a
a	a	a	0	0
b	b	a	1	0

We define a neutrosophic $\wedge$ in X as follows

Theorem 3.1. Every neutrosophic INK-subalgebra of X satisfies the conditions $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x)$, $\lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$, and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is neutrosophic INK-subalgebra of X . Then for all $x \in X$.

$$\lambda_T(0) = \lambda_T(x * y) \geq \min \{ \lambda_T(x), \lambda_T(y) \} = \lambda_T(x)$$

$$\lambda_I(0) = \lambda_I(x * y) \leq \max \{ \lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y) \} = \lambda_I(x)$$

$$\lambda_F(0) = \lambda_F(x * y) \geq \min \{ \lambda_F(x), \lambda_F(y) \} = \lambda_F(x).$$

□

Theorem 3.2. A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in X is constant if and only if it is a neutrosophic INK-ideal of X .

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is constant for all $x \in X$.

$\lambda_T(x) = \lambda_T(0)$, $\lambda_I(x) = \lambda_I(0)$, and $\lambda_F(x) = \lambda_F(0)$. Next for all $x, y, z \in X$.

$$\lambda_T(x) = \lambda_T(0) = \min \{ \lambda_T(0), \lambda_T(0) \} = \min \{ \lambda_T((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_T(y) \}$$

$$\lambda_I(x) = \lambda_I(0) = \max \{ \lambda_I(0), \lambda_I(0) \} = \max \{ \lambda_I((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_I(y) \}$$

$$\lambda_F(x) = \lambda_F(0) = \min \{ \lambda_F(0), \lambda_F(0) \} = \min \{ \lambda_F((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_F(y) \}$$

Hence, $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic INK-ideal of X . conversely, assume that $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic INK-ideal of X . For any $x \in X$ we have,

$$\lambda_T(x) \geq \min \{ \lambda_T((x * x) * (x * 0)), \lambda_T(0) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \min \{ \lambda_T(0 * x), \lambda_T(y) \} \geq \min \{ \lambda_T(0), \lambda_T(y) \} \geq \lambda_T(0), \\
&\lambda_I(x) \leq \max \{ \lambda_I((x * x) * (x * 0)), \lambda_I(0) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \lambda_I(0 * x), \lambda_I(y) \} \leq \max \{ \lambda_I(0), \lambda_I(y) \} \leq \lambda_I(0), \\
&\lambda_F(x) \geq \min \{ \lambda_F((x * x) * (x * 0)), \lambda_F(0) \} \\
&\geq \min \{ \lambda_F(0 * x), \lambda_F(y) \} \geq \min \{ \lambda_F(0), \lambda_F(y) \} \geq \lambda_F(0). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. *A neutrosophic set $\wedge$ in X is a neutrosophic INK-ideal if and only if it is a neutrosophic INK-ideal of X .*

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is neutrosophic INK-ideal for all X . The $\wedge$ is satisfies the condition $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x)$, $\lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$ and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$ by the theorem 3.2 we we have $\wedge$ constant, then for all $x \in X$.

$\lambda_T(x) = \lambda_T(0)$, $\lambda_I(x) = \lambda_I(0)$, and $\lambda_F(x) = \lambda_F(0)$, thus

$$\lambda_T(x) \geq \min \{ \lambda_T((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_T(y) \}$$

put $z = 0$ and $0 * x = x$

$$\geq \min \{ \lambda_T((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \lambda_T(y) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \lambda_T(x * y), \lambda_T(y) \} ,$$

$$\lambda_I(x) \leq \max \{ \lambda_I((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_I(y) \}$$

put $z = 0$ and $0 * x = x$

$$\leq \max \{ \lambda_I((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \lambda_I(y) \}$$

$$\leq \max \{ \lambda_I(x * y), \lambda_I(y) \} ,$$

$$\lambda_F(x) \geq \min \{ \lambda_F((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_F(y) \}$$

put $z = 0$ and $0 * x = x$

$$\geq \min \{ \lambda_F((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \lambda_F(y) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \lambda_F(x * y), \lambda_F(y) \} .$$

Therefore $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic ideal of X .

Conversely, $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic INK-ideal of X . $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Every neutrosophic INK-ideal of X is a neutrosophic INK-filter, if $0 * x = x$.*

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is neutrosophic INK-ideal of X . The $\wedge$ is satisfies the condition $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x)$, $\lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$ and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$. Let $x \in X$.

$$\lambda_T(y) \geq \min \{ \lambda_T((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_T(x) \}$$

put $z = 0$ and $0 * x = x$

$$\geq \min \{ \lambda_T((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \lambda_T(x) \}$$

$$\geq \min \{ \lambda_T(x * y), \lambda_T(x) \} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_I(y) &\leq \max \{ \lambda_I((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_I(x) \} \\
\text{put } z = 0 \text{ and } 0 * x = x \\
&\leq \max \{ \lambda_I((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \lambda_I(x) \} \\
&\leq \max \{ \lambda_I(x * y), \lambda_I(x) \} , \\
\lambda_F(y) &\geq \min \{ \lambda_F((z * x) * (z * y)), \lambda_F(x) \} \\
\text{put } z = 0 \text{ and } 0 * x = x \\
&\geq \min \{ \lambda_F((0 * x) * (0 * y)), \lambda_F(x) \} \\
&\geq \min \{ \lambda_F(x * y), \lambda_F(x) \} .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic INK-filter of X . □

Theorem 3.5. *Every neutrosophic INK-filter of X is a neutrosophic near INK-filter, if $0 * x = x$.*

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is neutrosophic INK-filter of X . The $\wedge$ satisfies the condition $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x)$, $\lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$ and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$. Let $x \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_T(x * y) &\geq \min \{ \lambda_T(y * (x * y)), \lambda_T(y) \} \\
&= \min \{ \lambda_T(0), \lambda_T(y) \} = \lambda_T(y). \\
\lambda_I(x * y) &\leq \max \{ \lambda_I(y * (x * y)), \lambda_I(y) \} \\
&= \max \{ \lambda_I(0), \lambda_I(y) \} = \lambda_I(y). \\
\lambda_F(x * y) &\geq \min \{ \lambda_F(y * (x * y)), \lambda_F(y) \} \\
&= \min \{ \lambda_F(0), \lambda_F(y) \} = \lambda_F(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic near INK-filter of X . □

Theorem 3.6. *Every neutrosophic near INK-filter of X is a neutrosophic near INK-subalgebra.*

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is neutrosophic INK-filter of X .

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_T(x * y) &\geq \lambda_T(y) \geq \min \{ \lambda_T(x), \lambda_T(y) \} \\
\lambda_I(x * y) &\leq \lambda_I(y) \leq \max \{ \lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y) \} \\
\lambda_F(x * y) &\geq \lambda_F(y) \geq \min \{ \lambda_F(x), \lambda_F(y) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\wedge$ a neutrosophic near INK-subalgebra of X . □

Theorem 3.7. *If $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic INK-subalgebra of X satisfies the following condition*

$$x * y \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda_T(x) \geq \lambda_T(y), \lambda_I(x) \leq \lambda_I(y), \lambda_F(x) \geq \lambda_F(y)).$$

Then $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic near INK-filter of X .

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is neutrosophic INK-subalgebra of X (3.7) satisfying the condition by the Theorem 3.2, we have $\wedge$ satisfies the condition $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x)$, $\lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$ and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$. Let $x, y, z \in X$.

Case 1: $x * y = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_T(x * y) &= \lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(y), \\ \lambda_I(x * y) &= \lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(y), \\ \lambda_F(x * y) &= \lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(y).\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $x * y \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_T(x * y) &\geq \min \{ \lambda_T(x), \lambda_T(y) \} = \lambda_T(y), \\ \lambda_I(x * y) &\leq \max \{ \lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y) \} = \lambda_I(y), \\ \lambda_F(x * y) &\geq \min \{ \lambda_F(x), \lambda_F(y) \} = \lambda_F(y).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic near INK-filter of X .

□

Theorem 3.8. *If $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic near INK-filter of X satisfies the following condition $\lambda_T = \lambda_I = \lambda_F$. Then $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic near INK-filter of X .*

Proof. Assume that $\wedge$ is neutrosophic near INK-filter of X satisfies the following condition $\lambda_T = \lambda_I = \lambda_F$. Then $\wedge$ satisfies the condition $\lambda_T(0) \geq \lambda_T(x)$, $\lambda_I(0) \leq \lambda_I(x)$ and $\lambda_F(0) \geq \lambda_F(x)$. Let $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\min \{ \lambda_T(x * y), \lambda_T(x) \} &\geq \min \{ \lambda_T(y), \lambda_T(x) \} \\ &= \min \{ \lambda_T(y), \lambda_T(x) \} \leq \lambda_T(y), \\ \max \{ \lambda_I(x * y), \lambda_I(x) \} &\leq \max \{ \lambda_I(y), \lambda_I(x) \} \\ &= \max \{ \lambda_I(y), \lambda_I(x) \} \leq \lambda_I(y), \\ \min \{ \lambda_F(x * y), \lambda_F(x) \} &\geq \min \{ \lambda_F(y), \lambda_F(x) \} \\ &= \min \{ \lambda_F(y), \lambda_F(x) \} \leq \lambda_F(y),\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\wedge$ is a neutrosophic near INK-filter of X .

□

REFERENCES

- [1] M. SONGSAENG, A. IAMPAN: *Neutrosophic Set Theory Applied to UP-Algebra*, European journal of pure and applied mathematics, **12**(4) (2019), 1382 – 1409.
- [2] Y. B. JUN, F. SMARANDACHE, H. BORDBAR: *Neutrosophic N-structures applied to BCK/BCI-algebras*, Information, **8**(4) (2017), 128–132.

- [3] M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA, V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN: *Fuzzy p -ideal in INK-Algebra*, Journal of Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology, **12**(3) (2020), 4746 – 4752.
- [4] M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA, V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN : *Intuitionistic fuzzy translation on INK-Algebra*, Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal. **9**(1)(2020), 295 – 303.
- [5] M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA, V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN: *Intuitionistic fuzzy p -ideal in INK-Algebra*, International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, **29**(12s) (2020), 371 – 379.
- [6] K. ISEKI: *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes, **8** (1980), 125–130.
- [7] M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA, V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN, J. KAVIKUMAR: *Interval-valued fuzzy subalgebra and fuzzy INK-ideal in INK-algebra*, Advances in Algebra and Analysis, Birkhauser, Cham, (2018), 19– 25.
- [8] M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA, V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN: *Fuzzy subalgebras and fuzzy K -ideals in INK-algebras*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **113**(6) (2017), 47 – 55.
- [9] M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA: *Review on BCI/BCK-algebras and development*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **117**(11) (2017), 377 – 383.
- [10] J. THOMAS, K. INDHIRA, V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN : *T-Normed Fuzzy TM-algebra of TM-algebra*, International Journal of computational Intelligence Systems, **12**(2) (2019), 706 – 712.
- [11] M. KAVIYARASU, K. INDHIRA, V. M. CHANDRASEKARAN: *Direct product of intuitionistic fuzzy K -ideals of INK-algebras*, Materials Today: Proceedings, **16** (2019), 449 – 455.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

SRI VIDYA MANDIR ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE, UTHANGARAI

KRISHNAGIRI-636902, INDIA

E-mail address: kavitamilm@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF VELLORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

VELLORE-632014, INDIA

E-mail address: kindhira@vit.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF VELLORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

VELLORE-632014, INDIA

E-mail address: vmcsn@vit.ac.in