

FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SOME GENERALIZED CONTRACTION IN b -METRIC SPACE

RITU ARORA, PANKAJ KUMAR MISHRA, AND SUMIT BISHT¹

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to obtain uniqueness and completeness of fixed point theorem on b -metric space. In this paper, we show that different rational contractive type maps exist in b -metric space and prove some fixed point theorem for these types of maps in b -metric space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1989, Bakhtin [3] introduced the notion of b -metric space and Czerwik [9] introduced the notion of b metric space with coefficient 2. This notion was generalized later with coefficient $s \geq 1$ in [10]. Since then many authors obtained fixed point theorem in b -metric space [1] [2] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8]. Kir [11] in 2013 extended Kannan, Chatterjea's contraction mapping on b -metric space, After that in 2014 Mishra [12] obtained Reich and Hardy Rogers contraction mapping in b -metric space. In this paper, we generalized some well-known fixed point theorems in b metric space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some definitions and properties for b -metric spaces.

¹*corresponding author*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point theorem, b -metric space, Cauchy sequence.

Definition 2.1. [10] Let X be a non-empty set and $s \in [1, \infty)$, then a mapping $D : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ is called b -metric space if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $D(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$;
- (iii) $D(x, z) \leq s[D(x, y) + D(y, z)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The pair (X, D, s) is called a b -metric space.

We note that if $s = 1$, then every b -metric space is reduced into usual metric space.

Example 1. [4] Let $X = 0, 1, 2$ and $D(0, 2) = D(2, 0) = m \geq 2$, $D(1, 0) = D(0, 1) = D(1, 2) = D(2, 1) = 1$ and $D(0, 0) = D(1, 1) = D(2, 2) = 0$, then $D(x, y) \leq \frac{m}{2}[D(x, z) + D(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $m > 2$ then the triangle inequality does not hold.

Example 2. Let $X = R$ and $D(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in X$, then (X, D, s) is a b -metric space with coefficient $s = 2$.

Example 3. [6] Let $0 < p \leq 1$, $l_p = \{\{x_n\} : x_n \in R, n \in N, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty\}$ and $D(x, y) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $x = \{x_n\}, y = \{y_n\} \in l_p$. Then D is a b -metric with coefficient $s = 2^{1/p}$.

Definition 2.2. [4] Let (X, D, s) be a b -metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists n(\epsilon) \in N$ such that for each $n, m \geq n(\epsilon)$, we have $D(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$.

Definition 2.3. [4] Let (X, D, s) be a b -metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is called convergent sequence if for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \geq N$ we have $D(x_n, x) < \epsilon$. In this case, we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 2.4. [4] A b -metric space (X, D, s) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point of X .

3. MAIN RESULT

Now we present our main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, D, s) be a complete b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and T be a self mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq a_1 D(x, Tx) + a_2 D(y, Ty) + a_3 D(x, Ty) + a_4 D(y, Tx) \\ + a_5 \frac{D(x, Tx)D(x, Ty) + D(y, Ty)D(y, Tx)}{D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 are non-negative numbers and $D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx) \neq 0$ with condition $(a_1 + a_3 + a_5)s + a_2 + a_3s^2 < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in X , defined by the recursion $x_0, x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_{n+1} = Tx_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= D(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_2 D(x_n, Tx_n) + a_3 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + a_4 D(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})D(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Tx_n)D(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{D(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Tx_{n-1})} \\ &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + a_4 D(x_n, x_n) \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x_{n-1}, x_n)D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + D(x_n, x_{n+1})D(x_n, x_n)}{D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + D(x_n, x_n)} \\ &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 s D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 s D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + a_5 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s} \right) D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda D(x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s} \right).$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= D(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) \\
&\leq a_1 D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}) + a_2 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_3 D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_4 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}) \\
&\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2})D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2})}{D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2})} \\
&\leq a_1 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_2 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 D(x_{n-2}, x_n) + a_4 D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \\
&\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})D(x_{n-2}, x_n) + D(x_{n-1}, x_n)D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})}{D(x_{n-2}, x_n) + D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})} \\
&\leq a_1 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_2 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 s D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_3 s D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
&\quad + a_5 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
D(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s} \right) D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
D(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq \lambda D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda^2 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we get $D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n D(x_0, x_1)$, since

$\lambda = \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s} \right) < 1$. Hence T is contraction mapping.

Now we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Let $m, n \in N$ and $m > n$

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x_n, x_m) &\leq s[D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n+1}, x_m)] \\
&\leq sD(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3 D(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \dots \\
&\leq s\lambda^n D(x_0, x_1) + s^2 \lambda^{n+1} D(x_0, x_1) + s^3 \lambda^3 D(x_0, x_1) + \dots \\
&\leq s\lambda^n [1 + s\lambda + s^2 \lambda^2 + \dots] D(x_0, x_1) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{s\lambda^n}{1 - s\lambda} \right) D(x_0, x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $s\lambda < 1$, taking $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete b -metric space X . Consider $\{x_n\}$ converges to x^* .

Now we have to show that x^* is a fixed point of T .

$$\begin{aligned} D(Tx^*, Tx_n) &\leq a_1 D(x^*, Tx^*) + a_2 D(x_n, Tx_n) + a_3 D(x^*, Tx_n) + a_4 D(x_n, Tx^*) \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x^*, Tx^*)D(x^*, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Tx_n)D(x_n, Tx^*)}{D(x^*, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Tx^*)} \\ &\leq a_1 D(x^*, Tx^*) + a_2 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 D(x^*, x_{n+1}) + a_4 D(x_n, Tx^*) \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x^*, Tx^*)D(x^*, x_{n+1}) + D(x_n, x_{n+1})D(x_n, Tx^*)}{D(x^*, x_{n+1}) + D(x_n, Tx^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

taking $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$D(Tx^*, x^*) \leq (a_1 + a_4)D(x^*, Tx^*).$$

The above inequality hold if $D(Tx^*, x^*) = 0$. Hence x^* is fixed point of T .

Uniqueness of fixed point: Let $x^* \neq x'$ be two fixed point of T , then:

$$\begin{aligned} D(x^*, x') &\leq a_1 D(x^*, Tx^*) + a_2 D(x', Tx') + a_3 D(x^*, Tx') + a_4 D(x', Tx^*) \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x^*, Tx^*)D(x^*, Tx') + D(x', Tx')D(x', Tx^*)}{D(x^*, Tx') + D(x', Tx^*)} \\ &\leq a_1 D(x^*, x^*) + a_2 D(x', x') + a_3 D(x^*, x') + a_4 D(x', x^*) \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{D(x^*, x^*)D(x^*, x') + D(x', x')D(x', x^*)}{D(x^*, x') + D(x', x^*)} \\ &\leq (a_3 + a_4)D(x^*, x'). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality hold if $D(x^*, x') = 0$. Hence fixed point of T is unique in X . $\square$

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, D, s) be a complete b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and T be a self mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq \mu[D(x, Tx) + D(y, Ty)], \forall x, y \in X, \text{ and } \mu \in [0, 1/2),$$

then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By putting $a_1 = a_2 = \mu$ and $a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ in the above theorem, we get the required result. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, D, s) be a complete b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and T be a self mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda[D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)], \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } s\lambda \in [0, 1/2),$$

then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By putting $a_3 = a_4 = \lambda$ and $a_1 = a_2 = a_5 = 0$ in the above theorem, we get the required result. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, D, s) be a complete b -metric space with $s \in [1, \infty)$ and T be a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the condition

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq a_1 D(x, Tx) + a_2 D(y, Ty) + a_3 D(x, Ty) + a_4 D(y, Tx) + a_5 D(x, y) \\ + a_6 \frac{D(y, Ty)[1 + D(x, Tx)]}{1 + D(x, y)} + a_7 \frac{D(y, Ty) + D(y, Tx)}{1 + D(y, Ty)D(y, Tx)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7$ are non-negative real number such that $a_1 s + a_2 + (s + s^2)a_3 + a_5 s + a_6 + a_7 < 1$, Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in X , defined by the recursion $x_0, x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_{n+1} = Tx_n \forall n \in N$.

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= D(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_2 D(x_n, Tx_n) + a_3 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + a_4 D(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + a_5 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + a_6 \frac{D(x_n, Tx_n)[1 + D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n)} + a_7 \frac{D(x_n, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{1 + D(x_n, Tx_n)D(x_n, Tx_{n-1})} \\ &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + a_4 D(x_n, x_n) + a_5 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + a_6 \frac{D(x_n, x_{n+1})[1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n)]}{1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n)} + a_7 \frac{D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + D(x_n, x_n)}{1 + D(x_n, x_{n+1})D(x_n, x_n)} \\ &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 s D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 s D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_5 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + a_6 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_7 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s - a_6 - a_7} \right) D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda D(x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s - a_6 - a_7} \right).$$

Similarly

$$D(x_{n-1}, x_n) = D(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}) + a_2 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_3 D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + a_4 D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}) \\
 &\quad + a_5 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_6 \frac{D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})[1 + D(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2})]}{1 + D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})} \\
 &\quad + a_7 \frac{D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2})}{1 + D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})D(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2})} \\
 &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_2 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 D(x_{n-2}, x_n) + a_4 D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + a_5 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + a_6 \frac{D(x_{n-1}, x_n)[1 + D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})]}{1 + D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})} + a_7 \frac{D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})}{1 + D(x_{n-1}, x_n)D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})} \\
 &\leq a_1 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_2 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_3 s D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_3 s D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 &\quad + a_5 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + a_6 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_7 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s - a_6 - a_7} \right) D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
 D(x_{n-1}, x_n) &\leq \lambda D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda^2 D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we get $D(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n D(x_0, x_1)$, since $\lambda = \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 s + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 s - a_6 - a_7} \right) < 1$. Hence T is contraction mapping.

Now we show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Let $m, n \in N$ and $m > n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x_n, x_m) &\leq s[D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + D(x_{n+1}, x_m)] \\
 &\leq sD(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + s^3 D(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \dots \\
 &\leq s\lambda^n D(x_0, x_1) + s^2 \lambda^{n+1} D(x_0, x_1) + s^3 \lambda^3 D(x_0, x_1) + \dots \\
 &\leq s\lambda^n [1 + s\lambda + s^2 \lambda^2 + \dots] D(x_0, x_1) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{s\lambda^n}{1 - s\lambda} \right) D(x_0, x_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $s\lambda < 1$, taking $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete b -metric space X . Consider $\{x_n\}$ converges to x^* . Now we have to show that x^* is a fixed point of T .

$$\begin{aligned}
D(Tx^*, Tx_n) &\leq a_1 D(x^*, Tx^*) + a_2 D(x_n, Tx_n) + a_3 D(x^*, Tx_n) + a_4 D(x_n, Tx^*) \\
&\quad + a_5 D(x^*, x_n) + a_6 \frac{D(x_n, Tx_n)[1 + D(x^*, Tx^*)]}{1 + D(x^*, x_n)} + a_7 \frac{D(x_n, Tx_n) + D(x_n, Tx^*)}{1 + D(x_n, Tx_n)D(x_n, Tx^*)} \\
&\leq a_1 D(x^*, Tx^*) + a_2 D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 D(x^*, x_{n+1}) + a_4 D(x_n, Tx^*) \\
&\quad + a_5 D(x^*, x_n) + a_6 \frac{D(x_n, x_{n+1})[1 + D(x^*, Tx^*)]}{1 + D(x^*, x_n)} + a_7 \frac{D(x_n, x_{n+1}) + D(x_n, Tx^*)}{1 + D(x_n, x_{n+1})D(x_n, Tx^*)},
\end{aligned}$$

taking $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$D(Tx^*, x^*) \leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_7)D(x^*, Tx^*).$$

The above inequality hold if $D(Tx^*, x^*) = 0$, Hence x^* is fixed point of T .

Uniqueness of fixed point: Let $x^* \neq x'$ be two fixed point of T , then

$$\begin{aligned}
D(x^*, x') &\leq a_1 D(x^*, Tx^*) + a_2 D(x', Tx') + a_3 D(x^*, Tx') + a_4 D(x', Tx^*) + a_5 D(x^*, x') \\
&\quad + a_6 \frac{D(x', Tx')[1 + D(x^*, Tx^*)]}{1 + D(x^*, x')} + a_7 \frac{D(x', Tx') + D(x', Tx^*)}{1 + D(x', Tx')D(x', Tx^*)} \\
&\leq a_1 D(x^*, x^*) + a_2 D(x', x') + a_3 D(x^*, x') + a_4 D(x', x^*) + a_5 D(x^*, x') \\
&\quad + a_6 \frac{D(x', x')[1 + D(x^*, x^*)]}{1 + D(x^*, x')} + a_7 \frac{D(x', x') + D(x', x^*)}{1 + D(x', x')D(x', x^*)} \\
&\leq (a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_7)D(x^*, x').
\end{aligned}$$

The above ineq. hold if $D(x^*, x') = 0$. Hence fixed point of T is unique in X . $\square$

Corollary 3.3. Let (X, D, s) be a complete b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and T be a self mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the condition

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq aD(x, Tx) + bD(y, Ty) + cD(x, y)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$, where a, b, c are non-negative numbers such that $a + s(b + c) < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By putting $a_1 = a, a_2 = b, a_5 = c$ and $a_3 = a_4 = a_6 = a_7 = 0$ in the above theorem, we get the required result. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. Let (X, D, s) be a complete b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and T be a self mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the condition

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq a_1 D(x, Tx) + a_2 D(y, Ty) + a_3 D(x, Ty) + a_4 D(y, Tx) + a_5 D(x, y)$$

such that $a_1 s + a_2 + (s + s^2)a_3 + a_5 s < 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 are non-negative numbers. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. By putting $a_6 = a_7 = 0$ in the above theorem, we get the required result. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. AGRAWAL, K. QURESHI, J. NEMA: *A Fixed Point Theorem For b -Metric Space*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences, **9**(1) (2016), 45-50.
- [2] H. AYDI, M. F. BOTA, E. KARAPINAR, S. MITROVIĆ: *A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasicontractions in b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Application, **2012**, Id88.
- [3] I. A. BAKHTIN: *The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces*, Funct. Anal., Gos. Ped. Inst., **30** (1989), 26-37.
- [4] M. BORICEANU: *Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b -metrics*, Babes-Bolyai University Mathematica Studia, **54**(3) (2009), 1-14.
- [5] M. BORICEANU: *Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b -metric spaces*, International Journal of Modern Mathematics, **4**(2) (2009), 285-301.
- [6] M. BOTA, A. MOLNAR, C. VARGA: *On ekeland's variational principle in b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory, **12**(2) (2011), 21-28.
- [7] A. BRANCIARI: *A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalised metric space*, Publ. Math Debrecen, **57** (2000), 31-37.
- [8] R. CHUGH, V. KUMAR, T. KADIAN: *Some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in generalized b -metric spaces*, Inter. J. of Math. Archive, **3**(3) (2012), 1198-1210.
- [9] S. CZERWIK: *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5-11.
- [10] S. CZERWIK: *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Atti. Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998), 263-276.
- [11] M. KIR, H. KIZILTUNC: *On some well known fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces*, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory, **1**(1) (2013), 13-16.
- [12] P. K. MISHRA, S. SACHDEVA, S. K. BANERJEE: *Some fixed point theorems in b -metric space* Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number theory, **2**(1) (2014), 19-22.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANYA GURUKUL CAMPUS
GURUKUL KANGRI VISHWAVIDYALAYA, HARIDWAR (UTTARAKHAND), INDIA
E-mail address: ritu.arora29@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHANDIGARH UNIVERSITY, GHARUAN (PUNJAB) INDIA
E-mail address: pk_mishra009@yahoo.co.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
GURUKUL KANGRI VISHWAVIDYALAYA, HARIDWAR (UTTARAKHAND), INDIA
E-mail address: sbisht743@gmail.com