

IMPACT OF SUCTION OR BLOWING ON ELASTICO-VISCOUS HYDROMAGNETIC FLUID FLOW PAST A STRETCHING PERMEABLE SHEET

KAMAL DEBNATH¹, SANKAR SINGHA, AND BIMALENDU KALITA

ABSTRACT. The analytical study using Homotopy perturbation technique has been initiated for two-dimensional steady elastico-viscous hydromagnetic fluid flow past a stretching permeable sheet. The elastico-viscous property in the fluid exhibited by Walters Liquid (Model B'). The stretching permeable sheet is exposed to suction or blowing. Similarity solutions are obtained by careful inspection to convert the governing equations of fluid motion into self-similar solvable ordinary differential equations. The velocity expression and shear stress at the stretching permeable sheet have been retrieved and numerically evaluated for different values of elastico-viscous, magnetic and suction or blowing parameters. The velocity distribution and skin friction expression are plotted to study the effects of involved flow feature parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

The stretching sheet problem in fluid dynamics find its place in industry because of it's numerous important applications. This type of problem often noticed in industry in manufacturing unit, such as rolling of artificial fibres, extraction of polymer sheet, glass-fibre production, crystal growing, paper production, crystal growing and so on.

¹*corresponding author*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76A05, 76A10.

Key words and phrases. Boundary layer, homotopy perturbation method, hydromagnetic, similarity solution, elastico-viscous fluid.

Sakiadis [1] investigated the flow of boundary layer maintaining constant velocity past a moving rigid surface of its own plane. The viscous boundary layer fluid flow past a linearly stretching sheet taking similarity transformation examined by Crane [2] analytically. Gupta et al. [3] has extended the heat transition and mass transport analysis of Crane's problem with suction or blowing effect. Parlov [4] presented the hydromagnetic viscous fluid past a plane deformed surface. Chakrabarti et al. [5] investigated the magnetohydrodynamic Newtonian fluid past a stretching sheet taking uniform temperature.

Crane's preliminary study further extended by research scientists like Vajravelu and Rollins [6], Chamkha [7], Zhang and Wang [8], Khan and Pop [9], Mahmoud [10], Hayat et al. [11], Chauhan and Olkha [12], Mahapatra et al. [13] and Ishak et al. [14] taking fluids of different classes with different physical situations. The above mentioned works are noteworthy because in each paper undeniable important properties of boundary layer and the heat transition because of motion of the sheet are explained. He [15-18] laid the mathematical foundation of HPM. His work inspired many researchers at later stage to solve coupled nonlinear differential equations involved in fluid dynamics problems with this method.

The present study aims to examine the boundary layer electrically conducting hydromagnetic steady elastico-viscous fluid flow along a stretching permeable sheet using Homotopy Perturbation method. Walters liquid (Model B') [19-20] exhibits the elastico-viscous property in the fluid. To study the impact of elastico-viscous parameter, magnetics parameter, suction and blowing parameters in the flow field, the analytically computed results of velocity expression and shear stress are plotted to bring out physical insight of the problem.

2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The steady electrically conducting hydromagnetic elastico-viscous boundary layer fluid flow along a stretching permeable sheet is considered. Using usual boundary layer and MHD approximation, the fluid motion governed by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \\ & - \frac{\kappa_0}{\rho} \left[u \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial y^2} + v \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial y^3} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right] - \frac{\sigma B_1^2}{\rho} u \end{aligned}$$

where u and v denote the components of velocity along x and y directions, $\nu = \frac{\mu}{\rho}$ is the kinematic fluid viscosity, μ is the coefficient of fluid viscosity, ρ is the fluid density, κ_0 is the elastic-viscous parameter, ρ represents constant electrical fluid conductivity, B_1 is the magnetic parameter. The last term of equation (2.1) is the contribution of electromagnetic force termed as Lorentz force under MHD approximation.




FIGURE 1. Geometrical model of flow problem

The approximate boundary conditions are:

$$(2.2) \quad u = U_w = cx, \quad v = v_w \text{ at } y = 0; \quad u = 0 \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty,$$

where U_w is the stretching velocity, c is the stretching constant with $c > 0$. Here v_w is a specified distribution of suction ($v_w < 0$) or, blowing ($v_w > 0$). Similarity solution of the above system of equations are:

$$(2.3) \quad u = cx f'(\eta), \quad v = -(c\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\eta),$$

where $\eta = y(c/\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Using (2.3) in (2.1), the self-similar equations obtained as:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & f'''(\eta) + f(\eta)f''(\eta) - [f'(\eta)]^2 - Mf'(\eta) \\ & - k_1 [2f'(\eta)f'''(\eta) - [f''(\eta)]^2 - f(\eta)f^{iv}(\eta)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \frac{\sigma B_1}{c\rho}$ and $k_1 = \frac{\kappa_0 c}{\rho\nu}$ are the modified magnetic and elastico-viscous parameter.

The boundary condition (2.2) reduces to

$$\text{At } \eta = 0, f(\eta) = S, f'(\eta) = 1; \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow \infty f(\eta) = 0,$$

where $S = -\frac{v_w}{(c\nu)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ represents suction for $v_w < 0$ and blowing for $v_w > 0$.

3. METHOD OF SOLUTION

Using Homotopy Perturbation Method, equation (2.4) is constructed as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1-p)(f''' - Mf') \\ & + p \left[f''' - Mf' + ff'' - f'^2 - k_1(2f'f''' - ff^{iv} - f''^2) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We consider $f = f_0 + pf_1 + p^2f_2 + \dots$, and thus equation (3.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-p)[(f_0''' + pf_1''' + p^2f_2''' + \dots) - M(f_0' + pf_1' + p^2f_2' + \dots)] \\ & + p[(f_0''' + pf_1''' + p^2f_2''' + \dots) - M(f_0' + pf_1' + p^2f_2' + \dots) \\ & + (f_0 + pf_1 + p^2f_2 + \dots)(f_0'' + pf_1'' + p^2f_2'' + \dots) - (f_0' + pf_1' + p^2f_2' + \dots)^2 \\ & - k_1\{2(f_0' + pf_1' + p^2f_2' + \dots)(f_0''' + pf_1''' + p^2f_2''' + \dots) \\ & - (f_0 + pf_1 + p^2f_2 + \dots)(f_0^{iv} + pf_1^{iv} + p^2f_2^{iv} + \dots) \\ & - (f_0'' + pf_1'' + p^2f_2'' + \dots)^2\}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Terms independence of p gives,

$$(3.2) \quad f_0''' - Mf_0' = 0.$$

Transformed conditions at boundary are,

$$(3.3) \quad f_0(0) = S, f_0'(0) = 1, f_0'(\infty) = 0.$$

Term containing only p gives,

$$(3.4) \quad f_1''' - Mf_1' = -f_0f_0'' - f_0'^2 + k_1(2f_0'f_0''' - f_0f_0^{iv} - f_0'^2).$$

Transformed conditions at boundary are,

$$(3.5) \quad f_1(0) = 0, f_1'(0) = 0, f_1'(\infty) = 0.$$

Terms containing only p^2 gives,

$$(3.6) \quad f_2''' - M f_2' = -f_0 f_1'' - f_1 f_0'' + 2f_0' f_1' + k_1(2f_0' f_1''' + f_1' f_0''' - f_0 f_1^{iv} - f_1 f_0^{iv} - 2f_0'' f_1'').$$

Transformed conditions at boundary are,

$$(3.7) \quad f_2(0) = 0, f_2'(0) = 0, f_2'(\infty) = 0.$$

Solving equations (3.2), (3.4), and (3.6) with the help of boundary conditions (3.3), (3.5), and (3.7), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0 &= A_1 + A_2 e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} \\ f_1 &= D_8 + D_9 e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + K_2(D_5 e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_6 e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta}) \\ f_2 &= D_{33} + D_{34} e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{28} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} \\ &\quad + K_2(D_{29} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{30} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{31} e^{-3\sqrt{M}\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} f(\eta) &= A_1 + A_2 e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + p[D_8 + D_9 e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + K_2(D_5 e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_6 e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta})] \\ &+ p^2[D_{33} + D_{34} e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{28} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} \\ &+ K_2(D_{29} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{30} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{31} e^{-3\sqrt{M}\eta})] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating equation (3.9) with respect to η , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\eta) &= D_{35} e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + p[D_{36} e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + K_2(D_{37} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{38} e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta})] \\ &+ p^2[D_{39} e^{-\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{40} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} \\ &+ K_2(D_{41} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{42} e^{-2\sqrt{M}\eta} + D_{43} e^{-3\sqrt{M}\eta})] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The constants of the above equations are obtained but not given here for the sake of brevity.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The skin friction at the sheet is obtained as

$$\tau = f''(0) + k_1\{f(0)f'''(0) + 3f'(0)f''(0)\}.$$

The numerical computations for the velocity component and skin friction at the sheet are obtained by analytic method. The Matlab software is used for computation and graphical representation to observe the effects of different flow parameters viz., visco-elastic parameter k_1 , magnetic parameter M and suction or blowing parameter S . The elastico-viscous effect is displayed by the parameter k_1 . Setting $k_1 = 0$, it is possible to obtain the results for Newtonian fluid.

Figure 2 illustrates the velocity distribution with variation of elastico-viscous parameter k_1 for $S = 0$ with $M = 4$, $p = 1$. With the rising magnitude of elastico-viscous parameter, the motion of the fluid diminishes and gradually vanishes with progressive distance from the sheet. Further, it is noticed that velocity diminishing rate is higher for non-Newtonian case in comparison with Newtonian case.

The velocity distribution with variation of magnetic parameter is depicted in figure 3 for $S = 0$ with $k_1 = 0.1$, $p = 1$. With the growth of M , velocity reduces for fixed η . The magnetic field exerts Lorentz force which retards the fluid motion. With increasing distance, the velocity vanishes. The thickness reduces for boundary layer with the growth of M which can be found from velocity curves.

Figures 4 and 5 depict the velocity profile against η across the boundary layer for suction and blowing parameter variation for fixed values of $M = 4$, $k_1 = 0.1$ and $p = 1$. It has been observed that the velocity curves rise with the growth of applied suction but diminishes for the growth of blowing. Thus, blowing helps to diminish the thickness of boundary layer but suction shows opposite behaviors.

Figures 6 to 8 reveal the shearing stress at the stretching sheet against M and S for different values of k_1 . Figure 6 demonstrates that the shearing stress reduces initially for fixed values of M for elastico-viscosity growth. But it enhances with the rising magnitude of magnetic parameter $M = 4$ onwards. Figure 7 illustrates that the shearing stress enhances in the beginning as elastic-viscous parameter rises for fixed $M = 4$, $k_1 = 0.1$ and $p = 1$, but it reduces gradually as applied suction increases. Further, figure 8 displays that the shearing stress

diminishes in the beginning with rising magnitude of elastico-viscous parameter for fixed $M = 4$, $k_1 = 0.1$ and $p = 1$ but it enhances gradually as applied blowing increases.




FIGURE 2. Velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ against η for variation of k_1







FIGURE 3. Velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ against η for variation of M

FIGURE 4. Velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ against η for variation of S (suction)FIGURE 5. Velocity profile $f'(\eta)$ against η for variation of S (blowing)FIGURE 6. Skin friction curves τ against M for variation of k_1




FIGURE 7. Skin friction curves τ against S (suction) for variation of k_1




FIGURE 8. Skin friction curves τ against S (blowing) for variation of k_1

5. CONCLUSION

The highly coupled nonlinear differential equations involved in this paper solved by homotopy perturbation technique. This method has advantage over the regular perturbation method. The effects of elastico-viscous, magnetic and suction or blowing parameters on the velocity components and skin friction are investigated graphically. It is noticed that the flow field is significantly influenced by the elastico-viscous, magnetic and suction or blowing parameters. A future study investigating the flow simulation of the problem would be very interesting. Besides this, numerical method can also be implemented to find the solution of the same problem and the results obtained can be compared by analytical method. The flow simulation may give the clear picture of the problem.

The present study reveals the following important points:

- The fluid velocity reduces for elastico-viscous fluid as compared to Newtonian fluid ($k_1 = 0$).
- Setting $k_1 = 0$ provides all results corresponding to Newtonian fluid.
- The fluid velocity gradually reduces and ultimately vanishes with progressive distance for variations of elastico-viscous and magnetic parameters.
- The boundary layer thickness diminishes with the growth of magnetic parameter.
- The velocity profile enhances as applied suction rises whereas reverse pattern is observed for blowing.
- The boundary layer thickness reduces when blowing parameter acts on the flow field but suction acts oppositely

REFERENCES

- [1] B. C. SAKIADIS: *Boundary layer behaviour on continuous solid surface I: The boundary layer equation for two dimensional and asymmetric flow*, *AIChE* **1**, **7** (1961), 26–28.
- [2] L. J. CRANE: *Flow past a stretching plate*, *ZAMP*, **21** (1970), 645–647.
- [3] P. S. GUPTA, A. S. GUPTA: *Heat and mass transfer on a stretching sheet with suction and blowing*, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, **55** (1977), 744–746.
- [4] K. B. PAVLOV: *Magnetohydrodynamic flow of an incompressible viscous fluid caused by the deformation of a plane surface*, *Magn. Hidrod.*, **10** (1974), 146–148.
- [5] A. CHAKRABARTI, A. S. GUPTA: *Hydromagnetic flow and heat transfer over a stretching sheet*, *Q. Appl. Math.*, **37** (1979), 73–78.
- [6] K. VAJRARELU, D. ROLLINS: *Heat transfer in electrically conducting fluid over a stretching sheet*, *Int. J. Nonlinear Mech.*, **27** (1992), 265–277.
- [7] A. J. CHAMKHA: *Transient hydromagnetic three-dimensional natural convection from an inclined stretching penneable surface*, *Chern. Eng. J.*, **76** (2000), 159–168.
- [8] Z. ZHANG, I. WANG: *Exact self-similar solutions of the magnetohydrodynamic boundary layer system for power-law fluids*, *ZAMP*, **58** (2000), 805–817.
- [9] W. A. KHAN, I. POP: *Boundary-layer flow of a nanofluid past a stretching sheet*, *Int. J. Heat Mass Trans.*, **53** (2010), 2477–2483.
- [10] M. A. A. MAHMOUD: *Hydromagnetic stagnation point flow towards a porous stretching sheet with variable surface heat flux in the presence of heat generation*, *Chern. Eng. Commun.*, **198** (2011), 837–846.
- [11] T. HAYAT, M. AWAIS, S. OBAIDAT: *Three-dimensional flow of a Jeffery fluid over a linearly stretching sheet*, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, **17** (2012), 699–707.

- [12] D. S. CHAUHAN, A. OLKHA: *Slip flow and heat transfer of a second-grade fluid in a porous medium over a stretching sheet with power-law surface temperature or heat flux*, Chern. Eng. Commun., **198** (2011), 1129–1145.
- [13] T. R. MAHAPATRA, A. S. GUPTA: *Magnetohydrodynamic stagnation-point flow towards a stretching sheet*, Acta Mech., **152** (2011), 191–196.
- [14] A. ISHAK, R. NAZAR, I. POP: *Heat transfer over a stretching surface with variable heat flux in micropolar fluids*, Phys. Lett. A, **372** (2008), 559–561.
- [15] J. H. HE: *An elementary introduction to the homotopy perturbation method*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, **57**(3) (2009), 410–412.
- [16] J. H. HE: *Approximate analytical solution for seepage flow with fractional derivatives in porous media*, J. Comput. Math. Appl. Mech. Eng, **167** (1998), 57–68.
- [17] J. H. HE: *A review on some new recently developed nonlinear analytical techniques*, Int. J. Non-linear Sci. Numer. Simul., **1** (2000), 51–70.
- [18] J. H. HE: *Homotopy perturbation method for bifurcation on nonlinear problems*, Int. J. Non-linear Sci. Numer. Simul, **6** (2005), 207–208.
- [19] K. WALTERS: *The motion of an elastico-viscous liquid contained between co-axial cylinders*, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math., **13** (1960), 444–46.
- [20] K. WALTERS: *The solutions of flow problems in the case of materials with memories*, J. Mecanique **1** (1962), 473–478.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 THE ASSAM ROYAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY
 BETKUCHI, NH-37, GUWAHATI-781035, ASSAM, INDIA
 Email address: : debnathtura@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 THE ASSAM ROYAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY
 BETKUCHI, NH-37, GUWAHATI-781035, ASSAM, INDIA
 Email address: : sibraj.158@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 THE ASSAM ROYAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY
 BETKUCHI, NH-37, GUWAHATI-781035, ASSAM, INDIA
 Email address: bkalita84@gmail.com