### STABILITY FOR CERTAIN CLASS OF MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

A. EBADIAN<sup>1</sup>, SH. NAJAFZADEH AND S. AZIZI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the problem of stability for a certain class of p-valent functions in  $T_{\delta}$ -neighborhoods and we find the lower and upper bounds of radius of stability.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  denote the class of functions f(z) of the form

$$f(z)=z^p+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}a_nz^n, \ (p\in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3,...\}),$$

which are analytic and p-valent in the open unit disc  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Also, let  $S^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{A}$  consisting of starlike and convex functions, respectively.

A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta)$  if it satisfies

$$Re\left(rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}
ight) < lpha \left|rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-p
ight|+eta,$$

where  $\alpha \leq 0$  and  $\beta > p$ .

We note if  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then, for  $\alpha < -1$ ,  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  lies in the region  $G_p \equiv G_p(\alpha, \beta) = \{w = u + iv : Rew < \alpha | w - p | + \beta\}$ , that is, part of the complex plane which contains w = p and is bounded by the ellipse

$$\left(u-rac{plpha^2-eta}{lpha^2-1}
ight)^2+rac{lpha^2}{lpha^2-1}v^2=rac{lpha^2(eta-p)^2}{(lpha^2-1)^2},$$

with vertices at the points

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{p\alpha^2 - \beta}{\alpha^2 - 1}, \frac{\beta - p}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p\alpha^2 - \beta}{\alpha^2 - 1}, \frac{p - \beta}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + 1}, 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p\alpha - \beta}{\alpha - 1}, 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For p = 1, the class  $\mathcal{M}_1(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{MD}(\alpha, \beta)$ was studied earlier by J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa [10]. Many subclasses of the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  were studied in earlier works [2, 11, 9, 12, 18]. Let the Hadamard product (or convolution) of two functions

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
,  $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ ,

be given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

And the integral convolution be given by

$$(f \otimes g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n b_n}{n} z^n$$

Also, note that if  $I_p$  denotes  $I_p(z) = z^p$  then

$$f * I_p = I_p$$
 and  $f \otimes I_p = I_p$ .

The convolution has the algebraic properties of ordinary multiplication. In convolution theory, the concept of duality is important. Many authors have used the powerful method of duality for study properties of analytic functions (for example, see [7, 8, 17]). The concept of duality in geometric function theory was stated by Ruscheweyh in the book [15]. Let  $\mathcal{V}^*$  denote the dual set of  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}(p)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{V}^* = \left\{g \in \mathcal{A}(p): rac{(f*g)(z)}{z^p} 
eq 0, orall f \in \mathcal{V}, orall z \in \mathbb{U}
ight\}.$$

For p = 1, we obtain the definition of dual set defined by Ruscheweyh [14]. Let  $D \subset \mathcal{A}(p)$  be given such that  $D^* = \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$f\in \mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta) \Longleftrightarrow rac{(fst g)(z)}{z^p}
eq 0, \; (g\in D, z\in \mathbb{U}).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> corresponding author

Key words and phrases. Stability of Hadamard product, Integral convolution, p-valent functions, Analytic functions.

If  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , then  $T_{\delta}$ -neighborhood Lemma 2.1. Let of the function f is defined as

$$TN_{\delta}(f) = \left\{g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}(p): \ \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |a_n - b_n| \leq \delta
ight\},$$

where  $\delta > 0$  and  $T = \{T_n\}_{n=p+1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of positive numbers.

In [16, 6] authors investigated  $T_{\delta}$ -neighborhood for various subclasses of analytic functions.

We also define  $TN_{\delta}(A) = \bigcup_{f \in A} TN_{\delta}(f)$ ,  $(A \subset A)$ . For p = 1, St. Ruscheweyh in [13] considered  $T = \{n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$  and showed that if  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $TN_{1/4}(f) \subset S^*$ .

Assume that A, B are subclasses of the class A. Then the set of all functions f \* g and  $f \otimes g$ , where  $f \in A$  and  $g \in B$ , will be denoted by A \* B and  $A \otimes B$ , respectively. Let  $A * B \subset C$ , the Hadamard product is called T-C-stabel on the pair of classes (A, B) if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $TN_{\delta}(A) * TN_{\delta}(B) \subset C$ . Stability of the integral convolution is defined in a similar way. The constant  $\delta_T$  which characterizes the stability of Hadamard or integral convolution is called the radius of stability and it is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let A, B, C be the subclasses of the class A and  $A * B \subset C$ . Then a constant  $\delta_T(A * B, C)$ , such that

$$\delta_T(A*B,C) = \sup\{\delta: TN_\delta(A)*TN_\delta(B) \subset C\},$$

is called the radius of stability of the convolution on the pair (A, B). The constant  $\delta_T(A \otimes B, C)$ , such that

$$\delta_T(A \otimes B, C) = \sup\{\delta : TN_\delta(A) \otimes TN_\delta(B) \subset C\},\$$

is called the radius of stability of the integral convolution on the pair (A, B).

Bebnarz in [3] studied T-C-stability for certain classes of analytic functions. Also, Bednarz, Kanas, Sokół and Aghalary et al.[4, 5, 1] recently investigated the problem of stability for various subclasses of analytic functions. In this paper we investigate the problem of stability for the class  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $T_{\delta}$ -neighborhoods and we find the lower and upper bounds of radius of stability.

### 2. Preliminaries

We shall require the following definitions and lemmas to prove our main results.

$$H_t(z)=rac{z^p}{p(1-z)^2}\left[p+(1-p)z-rac{Bz}{B-p}
ight]$$

where

$$egin{aligned} B &= tlpha + eta \pm i\sqrt{t^2 - (tlpha + eta - p)^2}, \ (t^2 - (tlpha + eta - p)^2 \ge 0, rac{eta - p}{1 - lpha} \le t \le rac{p - eta}{1 + lpha} \ lpha < -1, eta > p). \end{aligned}$$

Then a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  is in  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if for all z in  $\mathbb{U}$ ,

$$\frac{(f * H_t)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0$$

Proof. Let us assume that for  $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ ,  $\frac{(f * H_t)(z)}{z} \neq 0$ ,  $(z \in \mathbb{U})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(f * H_t)(z)}{z^p} &= \\ &= \left\{ \left( f(z) * \frac{pz^p + (1-p)z^{p+1}}{p(1-z)^2} \right) - \right. \\ &- \frac{B}{B-p} \left( f(z) * \frac{z^{p+1}}{p(1-z)^2} \right) \right\} / z^p \\ &= \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{p} - \frac{B}{B-p} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{p} - f(z) \right)}{z^p} \\ &= \frac{Bpf(z) - pzf'(z)}{p(B-p)z^p} \neq 0 , \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \neq B$$

Since boundary of region  $G_p \equiv G_p(\alpha, \beta)$  can be taken as  $B = t\alpha + \beta \pm i\sqrt{t^2 - (t\alpha + \beta - p)^2}$  for any  $\frac{\beta - p}{1 - \alpha} \leq t \leq \frac{p - \beta}{1 + \alpha}$ , this means that  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  lies completely either inside  $G_p$  or complement of  $G_p$ for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . At z = 0,  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p \in G_p$  so that  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \subset G_p$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ , which shows that  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . The converse part follows easily since all the steps can be retraced back. This completes the proof of lemma 2.1.

In view of the definition of dual set and Lemma 2.1 we can easily obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.1. Let

where

$$egin{aligned} B &= tlpha + eta \pm i\sqrt{t^2 - (tlpha + eta - p)^2}, \ (t^2 - (tlpha + eta - p)^2 \ge 0, \ rac{eta - p}{1 - lpha} \le t \le rac{p - eta}{1 + lpha}, lpha < -1, eta > p). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $D^* = \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Lemma 2.2. Let  $\alpha < -1$  and  $\beta > p$ . If h(z) = $z^p + \sum_{n=n+1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in D$ . Then

$$|c_n| \leq rac{eta - p + (n-p)(1-lpha)}{eta - p}$$

*Proof.* From the power series of the function  $h(z) \in$ D in Corollary 2.1 we obtain

$$c_n = rac{B-n}{B-p}$$

and therefore

$$|c_n|^2 = rac{t^2 + (n-p)[n+p-2(tlpha+eta)]}{t^2}.$$

and we ge

$$egin{aligned} |c_n|^2 &\leq rac{t^2 + (n-p)[n+p+2(t-p)]}{t^2} \ &= rac{t^2 + (n-p)(n-p+2t)}{t^2} \ &= rac{(n-p+t)^2}{t^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$|c_n| \leq rac{n-p+t}{t}.$$

Now, since  $\frac{\beta - p}{1 - \alpha} \leq t$ , we obtain

$$egin{aligned} |c_n| &\leq rac{n-p+t}{t} \ &= 1+rac{n-p}{t} \ &\leq rac{eta-p+(n-p)(1-lpha)}{eta-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.2. Let  $\alpha < -1$  and  $\beta > p$ . The function  $g(z) = z^p + Az^n \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(2.1) \qquad |A| \leq \frac{\beta-p}{\beta-p+(n-p)(1-\alpha)}.$$

Proof. First we prove the sufficient condition. Since

$$\left|\frac{(g*h)(z)}{z^p}\right| = \left|1 + c_n A z^{n-p}\right|$$
$$\geq 1 - |c_n A z|$$
$$\geq 1 - |z| > 0$$
$$(z \in \mathbb{U}, h \in D)$$

then by Corollary 2.1,  $g \in D^* = \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . Assume next, for neccessity, that  $g \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , and

$$h(z)=z^p+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}rac{eta-p+(n-p)(1-lpha)}{eta-p}z^n\in D.$$

Then

$$\frac{(g*h)(z)}{z^p} = 1 + A \frac{\beta - p + (n-p)(1-\alpha)}{\beta - p} z^{n-p}$$

Then, for  $|A| > \frac{\beta - p}{\beta - p + (n - p)(1 - \alpha)}$  there exist a point  $\zeta \in \mathbb{U}$  such that  $\frac{(g * h)(\zeta)}{\zeta^p} = 0$ , so that the inequality (2.1) must hold 

Corollary 2.3. Let  $\alpha < -1, \beta > p$  and f(z) = $z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ . If

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^\infty rac{eta-p+(n-p)(1-lpha)}{eta-p}|a_n|\leq 1$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Since  $\frac{\beta - p}{1 - \alpha} \le t \le \frac{p - \beta}{1 + \alpha}$ , then  $-2(t\alpha + \beta) \le 2(t - p)$  h(z)  $= z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  and  $h(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in D$ . Since for all  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$|c_n| \leq rac{eta - p + (n-p)(1-lpha)}{eta - p}$$

Then we have

$$\left|\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z^p}\right| = \left|1 + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n c_n z^{n-p}\right|$$
$$\geq 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| |c_n| |z|$$
$$> 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| |c_n| \geq 0.$$

Thus  $\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0$  and from Corollary 2.1 we have  $f \in D^* = \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Lemma 2.3. Let  $\alpha < -1$  and  $\beta > p$ . For $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and for every  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\epsilon| < \delta$ ,  $\begin{array}{l} f(z) = f(z) + \epsilon z^p \\ if \ F_{\epsilon}(z) = \frac{f(z) + \epsilon z^p}{1 + \epsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta), \ then \ for \ every \\ h \in D, \left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^p} \right| \geq \delta, (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{array}$ 

*Proof.* Let  $F_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_p(lpha, eta)$ . Then by Corollary 2.1,  $\forall h \in D, \ \forall z \in \mathbb{U} \ \frac{(F_{\epsilon} * h)(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0.$  Equivalently,  $\frac{(f*h)(z)+\epsilon z^p}{(1+\epsilon)z^p}\neq 0 \text{ in } \overset{\sim}{\mathbb{U}} \text{ or } \frac{(f*h)(z)}{z^p}\neq -\epsilon \text{ which }$ shows that  $\left|\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z^p}\right| \geq \delta.$ 

Lemma 2.4. ([15]) Let f(z) and g(z) be in the class  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^*$  respectively. Then, for every function F(z) analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we have

$$rac{f(z)st F(z)g(z)}{f(z)st g(z)}\in \overline{Co}(F(\mathbb{U})), \,\, z\in \mathbb{U},$$

where  $\overline{Co}$  denotes the closed convex hull.

Definition 2.1.

$$\mathcal{K}^{(p)} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(p) : f(z) = z^{p-1} \Phi(z), \ \Phi \in \mathcal{K} 
ight\}$$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $p < \beta \leq p - (1+\alpha)$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{K}^{(p)}$ . Then  $f * g \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{K}^{(p)}$ . Then

$$rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\in G_p \;,\; g(z)=z^{p-1}\Phi(z),$$

where  $\Phi(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ . To prove the required result, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} \in G_p.$$

We have

$$rac{z(z^{1-p}f(z))'}{z^{1-p}f(z)} = 1-p+rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)},$$

moreover, from propreties of region  $G_p$  we have

$$Rerac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}>rac{plpha+eta}{lpha+1}.$$

Then

$$Rerac{z(z^{1-p}f(z))'}{z^{1-p}f(z)} > rac{eta - p + lpha + 1}{lpha + 1} \ge 0.$$

Thus,  $z^{1-p}f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ . Now we have

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} = \frac{g(z)*zf'(z)}{g(z)*f(z)}$$
$$= \frac{z^{p-1}\Phi(z)*zf'(z)}{z^{p-1}\Phi(z)*f(z)}$$
$$= \frac{\Phi(z)*z^{2-p}f'(z)}{\Phi(z)*z^{1-p}f(z)}$$
$$= \frac{\Phi(z)*\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}z^{1-p}f(z)}{\Phi(z)*z^{1-p}f(z)}$$

Then by using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\frac{z(g*f)'(z)}{(g*f)(z)} = \frac{\Phi(z)*\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}z^{1-p}f(z)}{\Phi(z)*z^{1-p}f(z)}$$
$$\in \overline{Co}(F(\mathbb{U})) \subset G_p,$$

where  $F(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  and  $G_p$  is a convex region. Then  $f * g \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{N}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  if for all  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ,

$$zf'(z)+(1-p)f(z)\in \mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta)$$
 .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $p < \beta \leq p - (1 + \alpha)$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{N}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then for  $\epsilon$  with  $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $F_{\epsilon}(z) = \frac{f(z) + \epsilon z^p}{1 + \epsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let 
$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
. Then

$$\begin{split} F_{\epsilon}(z) &= \frac{f(z) + \epsilon z^p}{1 + \epsilon} \\ &= \frac{z^p (1 + \epsilon) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n}{1 + \epsilon} \\ &= \frac{f(z) * [z^p (1 + \epsilon) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} z^n]}{1 + \epsilon} \\ &= f(z) * \frac{z^p - \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} z^{p+1}}{1 - z} \\ &= f(z) * k(z), \end{split}$$

where

$$k(z) = rac{z^p - rac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} z^{p+1}}{1-z}$$
 $= z^{p-1}h(z),$ 
 $rac{\epsilon}{2}z^2$ 

and 
$$h(z) \frac{z - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}z}{1-z}$$
. Now,  
 $\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{z - \frac{2\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}z^2}{z - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}z^2} + \frac{z}{1-z}$   
 $= \frac{-\rho z}{1-\rho z} + \frac{1}{1-z}$ , where  $\rho = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$ .  
Hence  $|\rho| < \frac{|\epsilon|}{1-|\epsilon|} < \frac{1}{3}$  gives  $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{4}$ . Thus

$$Re\left(rac{zh'(z)}{h(z)}
ight) \geq rac{1-2|
ho||z|-|
ho||z|^2}{(1-|
ho||z|)(1+|z|)} > 0,$$

if  $|\rho|(|z|^2 + 2|z|) - 1 < 0$ . This inequality holds for all  $|\rho| < \frac{1}{3}$  and |z| < 1, which is true for  $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore *h* is starlike in U and so

$$\int_0^z rac{h(t)}{t} dt = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty rac{h_n z^n}{n} = h(z) st \log\left(rac{1}{1-z}
ight),$$

is convex for  $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{4}$ . Also we have

(

$$\begin{split} f*k)(z) &= (k*f)(z) = z^{p-1}h(z)*f(z) \\ &= z^{p-1} \left[ h(z)*z^{1-p}f(z) \right] \\ &= z^{p-1} \left[ h(z)*F(z) \right] \\ &= z^{p-1} \left[ h(z)*\left( zF'(z)*\log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \right) \right] \\ &= z^{p-1} \left[ zF'(z)*\left( h(z)*\log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \right) \right] \\ &= z^{p-1} \left[ zF'(z)*\Psi(z) \right] \\ &= z^{p-1} \left[ zF'(z)*\Psi(z) \right] \\ &= (zf'(z)+(1-p)f(z))*z^{p-1}\Psi(z), \end{split}$$

where  $F(z) = z^{1-p}f(z)$  and  $\Psi(z) = h(z) * \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)$ . Now from  $f \in \mathcal{N}_p(\alpha,\beta)$ , we have

 $zf'(z)+(1-p)f(z)\in \mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta)$  and since  $\Psi(z)\in \mathcal{K}$  then  $|p_n|\leq 2$   $(n\geq 1)$ . From (2.2) and (2.3), we then by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$(zf'(z) + (1-p)f(z)) * z^{p-1}\Psi(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta).$$
  
Thus  $F_{\epsilon}(z) = (f * k)(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta).$ 

Lemma 2.7. Let  $p < \beta \leq p - (1 + \alpha)$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{N}_p(lpha, eta)$  and  $h \in D$ , then  $\left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^p} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{N}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ and  $h \in D$ , then from Lemma 2.6 for  $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{4}$  we have  $F_{\epsilon}(z) = rac{f(z) + \epsilon z^p}{1 + \epsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_p(lpha, eta). ext{ Thus}$  $rac{1}{z^p}[h(z)*F_\epsilon(z)]
eq 0, \ |\epsilon|<rac{1}{4}.$ 

Now from the properties of Hadamard product we obtain

$$\frac{1+\epsilon}{z^p}[h(z)*\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z^p}{1+\epsilon}] = \frac{1}{z^p}[h(z)*(f(z)+\epsilon z^p)]$$
$$= \frac{1}{z^p}[h(z)*f(z)] + \epsilon \neq 0.$$

Hence for  $|\epsilon| < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{z^p}[h(z) * f(z)] \neq -\epsilon$ , and so  $\left|\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z^p}\right| \ge \frac{1}{4}.$ 

Lemma 2.8. Let  $\beta > p$  and  $\alpha \leq 0$ . If  $f \in$  $\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta)$ , then

$$|a_{p+1}|\leq rac{2(eta-p)}{1-lpha},$$

and

$$egin{aligned} |a_n| &\leq rac{2(eta-p)}{(n-p)(1-lpha)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-p-1} \left(1 + rac{2(eta-p)}{j(1-lpha)}
ight), \ &(n \geq p+2). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then (z f'(z)) = |z f'(z)|

$$egin{aligned} & {\mathcal{Re}}\left(rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}
ight) < lpha \left|rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-p
ight|+eta\ & \leq lpha \left(rac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-p
ight)+eta, \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$eta - plpha + (lpha - 1) Re\left(rac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}
ight) > 0.$$

And let us define the function p(z) by

(2.2) 
$$p(z) = \frac{\beta - p\alpha + (\alpha - 1)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}}{\beta - p}$$

Then p(z) is analytic in U, p(0) = 1 and Rep(z) >0 ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ). Therefore, if we write

(2.3) 
$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n,$$

obtain that

$$egin{aligned} &(lpha-1)\sum_{n=p+1}^\infty(n-p)a_nz^n\ &=(eta-p)\sum_{n=1}^\infty p_nz^n(z^p+\sum_{n=p+1}^\infty a_nz^n) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$egin{aligned} &a_n = \ & rac{eta - p}{(n-p)(lpha - 1)} imes \ &(p_{n-p} + p_{n-p-1}a_{p+1} + ... + p_2a_{n-2} + p_1a_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \ge p + 1$ . When n = p + 1,

$$|a_{p+1}|\leq rac{eta-p}{1-lpha}|p_1|\leq rac{2(eta-p)}{1-lpha}.$$

And when n = p + 2,

$$egin{aligned} |a_{p+2}| &\leq rac{eta - p}{2(1-lpha)}(|p_2| + |p_1||a_{p+1}|) \ &\leq rac{2(eta - p)}{2(1-lpha)}\left(1 + rac{2(eta - p)}{1-lpha}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Let us suppose that

$$(2.4) |a_k| \le \frac{2(\beta - p)}{(k - p)(1 - \alpha)} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + ... + |a_{k-2}| + |a_{k-1}|) \le \frac{2(\beta - p)}{(k - p)(1 - \alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1-p} \left(1 + \frac{2(\beta - p)}{j(1 - \alpha)}\right) (k \ge p + 2)$$

Then we see

$$(2.5) \quad 1 \quad + \quad |a_{p+1}| + \dots + |a_{k-2}| + |a_{k-1}| \le \\ \leq \quad \prod_{j=1}^{k-1-p} \left( 1 + \frac{2(\beta-p)}{j(1-\alpha)} \right).$$

By using (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{k+1}| &\leq \frac{2(\beta - p)}{(k+1-p)(1-\alpha)} \\ &(1+|a_{p+1}|+\ldots+|a_{k-2}|+|a_{k-1}|+|a_{k}|) \\ &\leq \left(1+\frac{2(\beta - p)}{(k-p)(1-\alpha)}\right) \frac{2(\beta - p)}{(k+1-p)(1-\alpha)} \\ &\prod_{j=1}^{k-1-p} \left(1+\frac{2(\beta - p)}{j(1-\alpha)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2(\beta - p)}{(k+1-p)(1-\alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^{k-p} \left(1+\frac{2(\beta - p)}{j(1-\alpha)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma 2.8.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $\alpha \leq -1$  and  $p < \beta \leq p + 2$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , then

$$|a_n|\leq rac{n(eta-p)}{2} \ (n\geq p+1).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha \leq -1$  and  $p < \beta \leq p+2$ , then  $\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $0 < \beta - p \leq 2$  and from Lemma 2.8 for  $n \geq p+2$  we obtain that

$$\begin{split} |a_{n}| \leq \\ \frac{2(\beta - p)}{(n - p)(1 - \alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-p-1} \left(1 + \frac{2(\beta - p)}{j(1 - \alpha)}\right) \\ \leq \frac{\beta - p}{n - p} \prod_{j=1}^{n-p-1} \left(1 + \frac{\beta - p}{j}\right) \\ = \frac{\beta - p}{n - p} \times \\ (\frac{(1 + \beta - p)(2 + \beta - p)\dots(n - p - 1 + \beta - p)}{1 \times 2 \times \dots \times (n - p - 1)} \\ = \frac{(\beta - p)(\beta - p + 1)\dots(\beta - p + n - p - 1)}{(n - p)!} \\ \leq (\beta - p)\frac{2 \times 3 \times 4 \times \dots \times (n - p) \times (n - p + 1)}{2(n - p)!} \\ = \frac{(\beta - p)(n - p + 1)}{2} \\ \leq \frac{n(\beta - p)}{2}. \end{split}$$

Also, for n = p + 1 again from Lemma 2.8 we have

$$egin{aligned} |a_{p+1}| &\leq rac{2(eta-p)}{1-lpha} \ &\leq eta-p \ &\leq rac{(p+1)(eta-p)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.9. Let  $L_{\gamma} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  is the integral operator defined by

$$L_\gamma[f](z)=rac{\gamma+1}{z^\gamma}\int_0^zt^{\gamma-1}f(t)dt,$$

and  $Re\gamma \geq 0$ , then  $L_{\gamma}[\mathcal{K}] \subset \mathcal{K}$ .

Corollary 2.5. Let 1 , then

$$g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}rac{n}{p+n-1}z^n\in\mathcal{K}$$

*Proof.* Let  $1 and <math>\gamma = \frac{n^2 - n + 1 - p}{p - 1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ , then by Lemma 2.9 we have

$$L_\gamma[f](z)=g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^\infty rac{n}{p+n-1}z^n\in \mathcal{K}.$$

# 3. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section  $T = \{T_n\}_{n=p+1}^{\infty}$  will always be the sequence given by

$$T_n=rac{eta-p+(n-p)(1-lpha)}{eta-p},$$

unless otherwise mentioned.

Theorem 3.1. Let 
$$1 and$$

$$egin{cases} p < eta \leq p - (lpha + 1) & -3 \leq lpha < -1, \ p < eta \leq p + 2 & lpha < -3. \end{cases}$$

Then for

$$egin{aligned} 0 &\leq \delta < \ & \sqrt{\left[rac{(eta-p+1-lpha)(p+3)}{4(eta-p)}
ight]^2 + rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{4(eta-p)}} \ & -\left[rac{(eta-p+1-lpha)(p+3)}{4(eta-p)}
ight], \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$TN_{\delta}(\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta))\otimes TN_{\delta}(\mathcal{K}^{(p)})\subset \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta).$$

Proof. Let  $f_0(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{0n} z^n \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ and  $g_0(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_{0n} z^n \in \mathcal{K}^{(p)}$ . Then  $g_0(z) = z^{p-1} \Phi(z)$  where  $\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k \in \mathcal{K}$ and so we have  $g_0(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_{n-p+1} z^n$ . Consequently,  $b_{0n} = d_m$  where m = n - p + 1 for all  $n \ge p + 1$ . Also suppose that  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in TN_{\delta}(f_0)$  and  $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in TN_{\delta}(g_0)$ . We want to show that

$$\frac{f \otimes g * h)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0, \ (h \in D).$$

By the identity

$$egin{aligned} &f\otimes g*h = \ &f_0\otimes g_0*h+f_0\otimes (g-g_0)*h+\ &(f-f_0)\otimes g_0*h+(f-f_0)\otimes (g-g_0)*h \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(3.1) \qquad \left| \frac{(f \otimes g * h)(z)}{z^p} \right| \ge$$
$$\ge \left| \frac{(f_0 \otimes g_0 * h)(z)}{z^p} \right| - \left| \frac{(f_0 \otimes (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z^p} \right|$$
$$- \left| \frac{((f - f_0) \otimes g_0 * h)(z)}{z^p} \right| - \left| \frac{((f - f_0) \otimes (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z^p} \right|$$

From Lemma 2.5 we have  $(f_0 * g_0)(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(lpha, eta).$ We have

$$egin{aligned} &z(f_0\otimes g_0)'(z)+(1-p)(f_0\otimes g_0)(z)=\ &(f_0*g_0)(z)*(z^p+\sum_{n=p+1}^\inftyrac{n+1-p}{n}z^n) \end{aligned}$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$z^{p} + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1-p}{n} z^{n} = z^{p-1} (z + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{l}{p+l-1} z^{l})$$

Then clearly, for p = 1 and by using Lemma 2.5 The right side of (3.6) is positive whenever and Corollary 2.5 for 1 < p  $\leq$  3 we conclude that  $z(f_0\otimes g_0)'(z)+(1-p)(f_0\otimes g_0)(z)\in \mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta)$  and thus  $f_0 \otimes g_0 \in \mathcal{N}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . Now from Lemma 2.7 we obtain

(3.2) 
$$\left|\frac{(f_0\otimes g_0*h)(z)}{z^p}\right|\geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Moreover, since  $\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty d_k z^k \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $b_{0n} \,=\, d_m$  where  $m\,=\,n-p+1$  for all  $n\,\geq\,p+1$ and also for all  $n \ge p+1, \ m \ge 2$  therefore  $|b_{0n}| =$  $|d_m| \leq$  1. Also by making use of Corollary 2.4 and Lemma 2.2 for  $h(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  we obtain  $|a_{0n}| \leq rac{n(eta-p)}{2} ext{ and } |c_n| \leq rac{eta-p+1}{eta-p} (n-p)(1-lpha),$  respectively. Now, from the definitions of  $TN_{\delta}(f_0)$ and  $TN_{\delta}(g_0)$  we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{0n}||b_n - b_{0n}||c_n|}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta - p}{2} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta - p + (n-p)(1-\alpha)}{\beta - p} |b_n - b_{0n}| \\ &= \frac{\beta - p}{2} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |b_n - b_{0n}| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta(\beta - p)}{2}. \end{split}$$

So

(3.3) 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{0n}||b_n - b_{0n}||c_n|}{n} \leq \frac{\delta(\beta - p)}{2}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{|b_{0n}||a_n - a_{0n}||c_n|}{n} \le \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |a_n - a_{0n}|$$
(3.4) 
$$\le \frac{\delta}{p+1}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n - a_{0n}| |b_n - b_{0n}| |c_n|}{n}$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\delta(\beta - p)}{(p+1)(\beta - p + 1 - \alpha)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |b_n - b_{0n}|$$
  
(3.5) 
$$\leq \frac{\delta^2(\beta - p)}{(p+1)(\beta - p + 1 - \alpha)}.$$

By virtue of (3.2),(3.3),(3.4) and (3.5), inequality Therefore, we obtain (3.1) gives

$$\left| \frac{(f \otimes g * h)(z)}{z^p} \right|$$

$$(3.6)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\delta(\beta - p)}{2} - \frac{\delta}{p+1} - \frac{\delta^2(\beta - p)}{(p+1)(\beta - p + 1 - \alpha)}.$$

$$0 \leq \delta < \sqrt{\left[\frac{(\beta - p + 1 - \alpha)(p + 3)}{4(\beta - p)}\right]^2 + \frac{(p + 1)(\beta - p + 1 - \alpha)}{4(\beta - p)}} - \left[\frac{(\beta - p + 1 - \alpha)(p + 3)}{4(\beta - p)}\right].$$

Corollary 3.1. Let  $1 \le p \le 3$  and

$$egin{cases} p < eta \leq p - (lpha + 1) & -3 \leq lpha < -1, \ p < eta \leq p + 2 & lpha < -3. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$egin{aligned} &\delta_T(\mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta)\otimes\mathcal{K}^{(p)},\mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta))\geq \ &\sqrt{\left[rac{(eta-p+1-lpha)(p+3)}{4(eta-p)}
ight]^2+rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{4(eta-p)}\ &-\left[rac{(eta-p+1-lpha)(p+3)}{4(eta-p)}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $p < \beta \leq p+2$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . For

$$0 \leq \delta <$$

$$egin{aligned} &\sqrt{rac{[(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)]^2}{16}}+rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{eta-p}\ &-rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$TN_{\delta}({I_p}) \otimes TN_{\delta}(\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta)) \subset \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta).$$

Proof. Let  $f_0(z) = I_p(z) = z^p$  and  $g_0(z) =$  $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ z^p & + & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_{0n} z^n & \in & \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta). \\ \text{that} & f(z) &= & z^p & + & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n & \in & N_{\delta}(f_0) \text{ and} \\ g(z) &= & z^p & + & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & \in & N_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & \in & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & \in & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & \in & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & \in & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & \in & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & \in & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0), \text{ then we have} \\ & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n & = & M_{\delta}(g_0$  $\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |a_n| \leq \delta \text{ and } \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |b_n - b_{0n}| \leq \delta.$ We want to show that

$$\frac{((f\otimes g)*h)(z)}{z^p}\neq 0, \ (h\in D).$$

We have

$$egin{aligned} &f\otimes g*h = \ &f_0\otimes g_0*h+f_0\otimes (g-g_0)*h \ &+(f-f_0)\otimes g_0*h+(f-f_0)\otimes (g-g_0)*h. \end{aligned}$$

$$igg| rac{(f \otimes g * h)(z)}{z^p} igg| \geq \ \geq \left| rac{(f_0 \otimes g_0 * h)(z)}{z^p} igg| - \left| rac{(f_0 \otimes (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z^p} 
ight| \ - \left| rac{((f - f_0) \otimes g_0 * h)(z)}{z^p} igg| - \left| rac{((f - f_0) \otimes (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z^p} 
ight| \ - \left| rac{((f - f_0) \otimes (g - g_0) * h)(z)}{z^p} 
ight|$$

Observe that,  $(f_0 \otimes g_0 * h)(z) = z^p$  and  $(f_0 \otimes (g - g_0) * h)(z) = 0$ . Moreover we have

$$\begin{split} & \left| \frac{((f-f_0) \otimes g_0 * h)(z)}{z^p} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n| |b_{0n}| |c_n|}{n} \\ & \leq \frac{\beta-p}{2} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta-p+(n-p)(1-\alpha)}{\beta-p} |a_n| \\ & = \frac{\beta-p}{2} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |a_n| \\ & \leq \frac{(\beta-p)\delta}{2}, \end{split}$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \left| \frac{((f-f_0) \otimes (g-g_0) * h)(z)}{z^p} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n||b_n - b_{0n}||c_n|}{n} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |a_n||b_n - b_{0n}| \\ & \leq \frac{\delta(\beta-p)}{(p+1)(\beta-p+1-\alpha)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |b_n - b_{0n}| \\ & \leq \frac{\delta^2(\beta-p)}{(p+1)(\beta-p+1-\alpha)}. \end{split}$$

Now, following the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we conclude the result and we omit details.

Corollary 3.2. Let  $p < \beta \leq p+2$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . Then we have

$$egin{aligned} &\delta_T(\{I_p\}\otimes\mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta),\mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta))\geq \ &\sqrt{rac{[(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)]^2}{16}}+rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{eta-p}\ &-rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\beta > p$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . Then we have

$$\delta_{T}(\mathcal{M}_{p}(\alpha,\beta)\otimes\mathcal{K}^{(p)},\mathcal{M}_{p}(\alpha,\beta)) \leq \\ \mu_{1} = \sqrt{\left[1+\frac{1-\alpha}{2(\beta-p)}\right]^{2}+\frac{p(\beta-p+1-\alpha)}{\beta-p}} \\ (3.7) \quad -\left[1+\frac{1-\alpha}{2(\beta-p)}\right],$$

and

(3.8) 
$$\delta_T(\{I_p\} \otimes \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta), \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{(p+1)(\beta - p + 1 - \alpha)}{\beta - p}} - \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof. Let  $g_0(z) = z^p + z^{p+1} + z^{p+2} + \dots$ ,  $f_0(z) = z^p + \frac{\beta - p}{\beta - p + 1 - \alpha} z^{p+1}$ ,  $h_0(z) = I_p(z) = z^p$ . Since  $g_0(z) = z^{p-1}(z + z^2 + z^3 + \dots = z^{p-1}(\frac{z}{1-z})$  and  $\frac{z}{1-z} \in \mathcal{K}$  then  $g_0 \in \mathcal{K}^{(p)}$ . Also from Corollary 2.2, we have  $f_0 \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . Let

$$egin{aligned} g(z) &= z^p + \left(1 + rac{\delta(eta-p)}{eta-p+1-lpha}
ight) z^{p+1} + z^{p+2} + \ldots \ &\in TN_{\delta}(g_0) \subset TN_{\delta}(\mathcal{K}^{(p)}), \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} f(z) &= z^p + \left(rac{eta - p}{eta - p + 1 - lpha}(1 + \delta)
ight) z^{p+1} \ &\in TN_\delta(f_0) \subset TN_\delta(\mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta)), \end{aligned}$$

$$h(z) = z^p + rac{\delta(eta-p)}{eta-p+1-lpha} z^{p+1} \ \in TN_\delta(h_0) \subset TN_\delta(\{I_p\}).$$

To show (3.7) and (3.8) it is sufficient to prove that

 $f \otimes g \notin \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$  when  $\delta > \mu_1$ ,

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$h \otimes f \notin \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$$
 when  $\delta > \mu_2$ .

We have

$$\begin{split} &(f\otimes g)(z)=z^p+\\ &\frac{\beta-p}{(p+1)(\beta-p+1-\alpha)}\left(1+\delta\right)\left(1+\frac{\delta(\beta-p)}{\beta-p+1-\alpha}\right)z^{p+1},\\ &\text{Let}\,\varphi(\delta)=\frac{\beta-p}{(p+1)(\beta-p+1-\alpha)}\left(1+\delta\right)\left(1+\frac{\delta(\beta-p)}{\beta-p+1-\alpha}\right)\\ &\text{Then we have }\varphi(\mu_1)=\frac{\beta-p}{\beta-p+1-\alpha} \text{ and }\varphi(\delta)>\\ &\varphi(\mu_1) \text{ for }\delta>\mu_1, \text{ therefore by Crollary 2.2 we have}\\ &(f\otimes g)(z)\notin\mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta) \text{ when }\delta>\mu_1.\\ &\text{Also we have}\\ &(h\otimes f)(z)=z^p+\frac{(\beta-p)^2}{(p+1)(\beta-p+1-\alpha)^2}\left(\delta+\delta^2\right)z^{p+1}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\frac{(\beta-p)^2}{(p+1)(\beta-p+1-\alpha)^2} \left(\mu_2+\mu_2^2\right) = \frac{\beta-p}{\beta-p+1-\alpha},$$
  
thus similarly  $h \otimes f \notin \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta)$  when  $\delta > \mu_2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\beta > p$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . Then we have

$$\delta_1 = \sqrt{rac{eta - p + 1 - lpha}{eta - p}},$$

 $TN_{\delta_1}(\{I_p\}) * TN_{\delta_1}(\{I_p\}) \subset \mathcal{M}_p(lpha, eta),$  (ii) for

$$\delta_2 = \sqrt{rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{eta-p}},$$

 $TN_{\delta_2}({I_p}) \otimes TN_{\delta_2}({I_p}) \subset \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta).$ The result is the best possible in each case.

Proof. (i) Let

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in TN_{\delta_1}(\{I_p\})$$

and

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in TN_{\delta_1}(\{I_p\}).$$

By making use of definition  $TN_{\delta_1}(\{I\})$  we have

$$(3.9) \qquad \qquad \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |a_n| \leq \delta_1,$$

and

$$(3.10) \qquad \qquad \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} T_n |b_n| \le \delta_1.$$

Since  $\beta > p$  and  $\alpha < -1$ ,  $T_n = \frac{\beta - p + (n - p)(1 - \alpha)}{\beta - p}$  is an increasing function of  $n \ (n \ge p + 1)$  so that from (3.9) we get

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^\infty |a_n| \leq rac{(eta-p)\delta_1}{eta-p+1-lpha},$$

which implies that

$$|a_n| \leq rac{(eta-p)\delta_1}{eta-p+1-lpha} \; (n\geq p+1)$$

Using the above inequality and (3.10), it follows that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}rac{eta-p+(n-p)(1-lpha)}{eta-p}|a_n||b_n| \ \leq rac{(eta-p)\delta_1^2}{eta-p+1-lpha}=1,$$

which in view of Corollary 2.3,  $(f * g)(z) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . The proof of (ii) is similar to part (i) and we omit the details.

To see that the containment relation in (i) is the best pssible, we consider the functions f and g defined in  $\mathbb{U}$  by

$$f(z)=g(z)=z^p+\sqrt{rac{eta-p}{eta-p+1-lpha}}z^{p+1},$$

clearly,  $f, g \in TN_{\delta_1}(I_p)$  and  $(f * g) \in \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ . Also considering the functions f and g defined in  $\mathbb{U}$  by

$$f(z)=g(z)=z^p+\sqrt{rac{(p+1)(eta-p)}{eta-p+1-lpha}}z^{p+1},$$

it is easily seen that the result in (ii) is the best possible. This evidently completes the proof.  $\hfill\square$ 

Corollary 3.4. Let  $\beta > p$  and  $\alpha < -1$ . Then we have (i)

$$\delta_T(\{I_P\}*\{I_p\},\mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta))=\sqrt{rac{eta-p+1-lpha}{eta-p}},$$

(ii)

$$\delta_T(\{I_P\} \otimes \{I_p\}, \mathcal{M}_p(lpha, eta)) = \sqrt{rac{(p+1)(eta-p+1-lpha)}{eta-p}}$$

*Proof.* (i) From Theorem 3.3 we have (3.11)

$$\delta_T(\{I_P\}*\{I_p\},\mathcal{M}_p(lpha,eta))\geq \delta_1=\sqrt{rac{eta-p+1-lpha}{eta-p}}.$$

Moreover, let

$$f(z) = g(z) = z^p + rac{\delta(eta - p)}{eta - p + 1 - lpha} z^{p+1} \in TN_{\delta}(\{I_p\}).$$

Then we have

$$(f*g)(z)=z^p+\left(rac{\delta(eta-p)}{eta-p+1-lpha}
ight)^2z^{p+1}.$$

Let  $\varphi(\delta) = \left(rac{\delta(eta-p)}{eta-p+1-lpha}
ight)^2$ , then  $\varphi(\delta) > \varphi(\delta_1) = eta-p$ 

 $\frac{\beta-p}{\beta-p+1-\alpha} \text{ for } \delta > \delta_1. \text{ Therefore, by Corollary} \\ 2.2, (f*g)(z) \notin \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha,\beta) \text{ when } \delta > \delta_1. \text{ This means} \\ \text{that} \end{cases}$ 

(3.12) 
$$\delta_T({I_P} * {I_p}, \mathcal{M}_p(\alpha, \beta)) \leq \delta_1.$$

The relations (3.11) and (3.12) give the result. The proof of part (ii) is similar to part (i) and we omit the details.

# References

- R. AGHALARY, A. EBADIAN, M. MAFAKHERI: Stability for the class of uniformly starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 63 (2014), 173-180.
- [2] R. M. ALI, M. HUSSAIN, V. RANICHANDRAN, K. G. SUBRAMANIAN: A class of multivalent functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution, J. Ineq. Pure. Appl. Math., 6(1)(2005), 1-9.
- [3] U. BEDNARZ: Stability of the Hadamard product of k-uniformly convex and k-starlike functions in certain neighborhood, Demonstratio Math. 38(4)(2005), 837-845.
- U. BEDNARZ, S. KANAS: Stability of the integral convolution of k-uniformly convex and k-starlike functions, J. Appl. Anal. 10(1)(2004), 105-115.
- [5] U. BEDNARZ, J. SOKÓŁ: On the integral convolution of certain classes of analytic functions, Taiwanese Journal of Math. 13(5) (2009), 1387-1396.
- [6] U. BEDNARZ, J. SOKÓŁ: On T-neighborhoods of analytic function, J. Math. Appl., 32 (2010), 25-32.

- [7] S. DEVI, A. SWAMINATHAN: Integral transforms of functions to be in a class of analytic functions using duality techniques, Hindawi Publishing Corporation. Journal of Complex Analysis. Volume 2014, Article ID 473069, 10 pages.
- [8] A. EBADIAN, R. AGHALARY, S. SHAMS: Application of duality techniques to starlikeness of weighted integral transforms, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., 17 (2010), 275-285.
- [9] J. NISHIWAKI, S. OWA: Coefficient inequalities for analytic functions, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 29 (2002), 285-290.
- [10] J. NISHIWAKI, S. OWA: Certain classes of analytic functions concerned with uniformly starlike and convex functions, Applied Math. and Computation, 187 (2007), 350-357.
- [11] S.OWA, M. NUNOKAWA, H. M. SRIVASTAVA: A certain class of multivalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 10(2) (1997), 7-10.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY P. O. BOX 19395-3697, TEHRAN, IRAN *E-mail address*: ebadian.ali@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY P. O. Box 19395-3697 ,TEHRAN, IRAN *E-mail address*: najafzadeh1234@yahoo.ie

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PAYAME NOOR UNIVERSITY P. O. Box 19395-3697 ,Tehran, Iran *E-mail address*: saman\_azizi86@yahoo.com

- [12] S.OWA, J. NISHIWAKI: Coefficient estimates for certain classes of analytic functions, J.Ineq. Pure. Appl. Math., 3(5)(2002), 1-5.
- [13] ST. RUSCHEWEYH: Neighborhoods of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81 (1981), 521-527.
- [14] ST. RUSCHEWEYH: Duality for Hadamard product with applications to extermal problems, Trans. Amer. Math., 210 (1975), 63-74.
- [15] ST. RUSCHEWEYH: Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, Sem. Math. Sup., vol. 83, Presses Univ. de. Montreal, 1982.
- [16] S. SHAMS, A. EBADIAN, M. SAYADIAZAR, J. SOKÓŁ: T-neighborhoods in various classes of analytic functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 51(3) (2014), 659-666.
- [17] J. SOKÓŁ: Duality for Hadamard product applied to certain condition for α-starlikeness, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolya, Mathematica, 45(3) (2010), 213-219.
- [18] B.A. URALEGADDI, M.D. GANIGI, S.A. SARANGI: Univalent functions with positive coefficients, Tamkang J. Math., 25 (1994), 225-290.