

INCOMPARABILITY GRAPH OF THE SPECIAL LATTICE $L_N^{2^2}$ A. DABHOLE¹, K. GHADLE, AND G. ROKADE

ABSTRACT. In the present research paper, we have studied the incomparability graph of the lattice $L_n^{2^2}$. In said graphs, we found a dominating set and the order of a graph. We have expressed the cardinality of neighbourhood of an atom making use of an expansion formula. We have also found the largest independent set of the mentioned graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

Duffus and Rival in [2] considered the covering graphs of posets. This graph has vertices which contain the elements of P and are adjacent if satisfying p covers q or q covers p . Allan and Laskar in [1] studied a domination and independent dominating numbers in a graph. A graph considered as finite, undirected, no multiple edges and with no loops. They proved, I is a maximal independent set if and only if I is an independent dominating set.

Filipov in [5] discussed a graph of a poset by defining an edge between the vertices p, q , making use of the comparable relation that is p, q have an edge if either $p \leq q$ or $q \leq p$. Nimbhorkar et al. in [7] discussed the graphs of a lattices L with 0 . Authors defined the adjacency between the elements $p, q \in L$ as $p \wedge q = 0$. For a finite bounded lattice L , E. Estaji and K. Khashyarmansh in [4] studied a natural generalization of the concept of zero-divisor graph for

¹corresponding author

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03G10, 05C69, 05C07.

Key words and phrases. Incomparable pairs, dominating set, order of a graph, independent set, neighbourhood of an element.

a Boolean algebra. Also they discussed the properties of L with graph theoretic properties of $G(L)$.

J. Foxa and J. Pach in [6] defined incomparability graph with vertex set P with adjacency of two vertices of P if and only if they are incomparable. They emphasized the applications to extremal problems for string graphs and edge intersection patterns in topological graphs. Wasadikar and Dabhole in [8] discussed the dominating set and order of incomparability graph of lattices L_n and L_n^{12} . A. Dabhole et al. in [3] introduced a graph on the lattice having square of two prime factor of a positive integer. Also discussed some properties of the these graph called as zero divisor graph.

The present research article deals with the study of an incomparability graph of the lattice L_n^{22} . Let n be a positive integer. All the divisors of n gives a lattice under the divisibility relation. Here n is of the form $n = p_1^2 \times p_2^2 \times p_3 \times \dots \times p_k$. We have denoted the incomparability graph of lattice L_n^{22} by $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$. Let $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma_I(L)$ are adjacent iff a_1, a_2 are incomparable. An element $p \in L$ is called an incomparable if there exist $q \in L$ such that $p \nmid q$ and $q \nmid p$.

We found an order of $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$, minimal dominating set and expressed the cardinality of $N(P)$ by using binomial expression. Also we found the largest independent set of $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$.

2. ORDER OF $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$

Here we have found the total number of vertices in the incomparability graph of the lattice L_n^{22} . Order of graph is denoted by $\eta(\Gamma_I(L_n^{22}))$. We give a formula for the order of $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$ using binomial expression.

Theorem 2.1. *The order of $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$ is,*

$$\eta(\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})) = \sum_{r=1}^k {}^k C_r + 2 \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} {}^{k-1} C_r + \sum_{r=0}^{k-3} {}^{k-2} C_r.$$

Proof. Let $p_i \in L_n^{22}$. As $p_i \nmid p_j$, for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we have $p_i - p_j$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$. So the vertex p_i or p_j is in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$ and these are ${}^k C_1$ in numbers.

Now take the product of two primes from $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$.

a) Let p_1, p_2 is not repeated, we have $p_i p_{q_1} \nmid p_j p_{q_1}$, for $i \neq q_1 \neq j$, $i, j, q_1 = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence $p_i p_{q_1}, p_j p_{q_1}$ are in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{22})$ and all these are ${}^k C_2$.

b) As $p_t^2 \nmid p_{z_1} p_{z_2}$, for $t \neq z_1 \neq z_2$, $z_1, z_2 = 3, 4, \dots, k$, $t = 1, 2$, we have these vertices p_t^2 is in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ and it is ${}^{k-1}C_0$ in number.

Similarly consider three primes from $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$.

a) Consider p_1, p_2 is not repeated, $p_i p_{q_1} p_{q_2} \nmid p_j p_{q_1} p_{q_2}$, for $i \neq q_1 \neq q_2 \neq j$, $i, q_1, q_2, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence $p_i p_{q_1} p_{q_2}, p_j p_{q_1} p_{q_2}$ are in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ and are ${}^k C_3$ in number.

b) since $p_1^2 p_{s_1} \nmid p_1^2 p_{s_2}$, for $s_1 \neq s_2$, $s_1, s_2 = 2, 3, \dots, k$, we have these vertices $p_1^2 p_{s_1}, p_1^2 p_{s_2}$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. These are ${}^{k-1}C_1$ in number and the same we have for p_2^2 . So the number of such vertices are $2^{k-1}C_1$.

Also consider the product of four elements from $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$.

a) Consider p_1, p_2 is not repeated, $p_i p_{q_1} p_{q_2} p_{q_3} \nmid p_j p_{q_1} p_{q_2} p_{q_3}$, for $i \neq q_1 \neq q_2 \neq q_3 \neq j$, $i, q_1, q_2, q_3, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence $p_i p_{q_1} p_{q_2} p_{q_3}, p_j p_{q_1} p_{q_2} p_{q_3}$ are in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. These are ${}^k C_4$ in number.

b) since $p_1^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2} \nmid p_1^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_3}$, for $s_1 \neq s_2 \neq s_3$, $s_1, s_2, s_3 = 2, 3, \dots, k$, we have these vertices $p_1^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2}, p_1^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_3}$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. These are ${}^{k-1}C_2$ in number and the same we have for p_2^2 . So the number of such vertices are $2^{k-1}C_2$.

c) As $p_1^2 p_2^2 \nmid p_{s_1} p_{s_2} p_{s_3} p_{s_4}$, for $s_1 \neq s_2 \neq s_3 \neq s_4$, $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 = 3, 4, \dots, k$, we have $p_1^2 p_2^2$ is in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ and are ${}^{k-2}C_0$ in number.

Continue the same for $k + 1$ elements from $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$.

a) Since $(p_1^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2} \dots p_{s_j}) \nmid (p_1^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2} \dots p_{s_{j-1}} p_{s_{j+1}})$, all s_j are distinct, $s_j = 2, 3, \dots, k$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, we have these vertices are adjacent in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. These are ${}^{k-1}C_{k-1}$ in number and same we have for p_2^2 . So the number of such vertices are $2^{k-1}C_{k-1}$.

b) Also $(p_1^2 p_2^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2} \dots p_{s_j}) \nmid (p_1^2 p_2^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2} \dots p_{s_{j-1}} p_{s_{j+1}})$, all s_j are distinct, $s_j = 3, 4, \dots, k$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 3$, we have these vertices $p_1^2 p_2^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2} \dots p_{s_j}, p_1^2 p_2^2 p_{s_1} p_{s_2} \dots p_{s_{j-1}} p_{s_{j+1}}$ are in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ and these are ${}^{k-2}C_{k-3}$.

Therefore, the number of vertices in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ is,

$${}^k C_1 + {}^k C_2 + \dots + {}^k C_k + 2[{}^{k-1}C_0 + {}^{k-1}C_1 + \dots + {}^{k-1}C_{k-1}] + {}^{k-2}C_0 + {}^{k-2}C_1 + \dots + {}^{k-2}C_{k-3}.$$

Hence,

$$\eta(\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})) = \sum_{r=1}^k {}^k C_r + 2 \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} {}^{k-1} C_r + \sum_{r=0}^{k-3} {}^{k-2} C_r.$$

□

3. NEIGHBOURHOODS OF ATOMS OF THE LATTICE IN THE INCOMPARABILITY GRAPH

In this section, we have found the neighbourhoods of a vertex in the incomparability graph of the lattice $L_n^{2^2}$. We consider the atoms p_1, p_2 of the lattice $L_n^{2^2}$. We find the number of elements in the neighbourhood of an atom in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. We prove that the cardinality of the neighbourhood of p_1, p_2 , denoted by $N(p_1), N(p_2)$ can be expressed using a binomial expansion.

Theorem 3.1. *In the graph $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$,*

$$|N(p_1)| = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} {}^{k-1}C_r + \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} {}^{k-2}C_r.$$

Proof. Let $p_1 \in L_n^{2^2}$, since $p_1 \not\prec p_j$, for $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$, we have $p_1 - p_j$ is an edge in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$, i.e. each p_j is in the neighbourhood of p_1 and the number of such elements is ${}^{k-1}C_1$.

Now we take the product of two elements from $\{p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k\}$. As $p_1 \not\prec p_{j_1}p_{j_2}$, $j_1, j_2 = 2, 3, \dots, k$, we have $p_1 - (p_{j_1}p_{j_2})$ is an edge in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. So $p_{j_1}p_{j_2}$ is in the neighbourhood of p_1 .

a) If $p_{j_1} \neq p_{j_2}$ then $p_{j_1}p_{j_2}$ is in the neighbourhood of p_1 and the number such elements is ${}^{k-1}C_2$,

b) otherwise $p_{j_1} = p_{j_2}$ i.e. p_j^2 is in the neighbourhood of p_1 and the number such elements is ${}^{k-1}C_0$.

Similarly consider the product of three elements from $\{p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k\}$. Since $p_1 \not\prec p_{j_1}p_{j_2}p_{j_3}$, $j_1, j_2, j_3 = 2, 3, \dots, k$, we have $p_1 - (p_{j_1}p_{j_2}p_{j_3})$ is an edge in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. So $p_{j_1}p_{j_2}p_{j_3}$ is in the neighbourhood of p_1 .

a) If $p_{j_1}p_{j_2}p_{j_3}$ are distinct, then $p_{j_1}p_{j_2}p_{j_3}$ is in the neighbourhood of p_1 and the number such elements is ${}^{k-1}C_3$,

b) otherwise $p_j^2p_j$ $j = 3, 4, \dots, k$ is in the neighbourhood of p_1 and the number such elements is ${}^{k-2}C_1$.

Continuing for the product of $k - 1$ elements from $\{p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k\}$. Since $p_1 \not\prec p_{j_1}p_{j_2} \dots p_{j_r}$, for $j_r = 2, 3, \dots, k$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, we have $p_1 - (p_{j_1}p_{j_2} \dots p_{j_r})$ is an edge in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. So $p_{j_1}p_{j_2} \dots p_{j_r}$ is in the neighbourhood of p_1 .

a) If all p_{j_r} are distinct, then number of $p_{j_1}p_{j_2} \dots p_{j_r}$ element is ${}^{k-1}C_{k-1}$,

b) otherwise, it is ${}^{k-2}C_{k-3}$.

Lastly, as $p_1 \nmid (p_2^2 p_3 p_4 \cdots p_k)$, we have $p_2^2 p_3 p_4 \cdots p_k$ which is one neighbourhood of p_1 and it is counted by ${}^{k-2}C_{k-2}$ way.

Hence,

$$|N(p_1)| = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} {}^{k-1}C_r + \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} {}^{k-2}C_r.$$

□

4. A DOMINATING SET IN $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$

We have given a minimum dominating set in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ of the lattice $L_n^{2^2}$. We shown that any two vertices q_1, q_2 of the graph $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ form a dominating set.

Theorem 4.1. *The dominating set in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ is $D = \{q_1, q_2\}$, where $q_1 \times q_2 = n$ and q_1, q_2 have no prime factors common.*

Proof. Let $V(\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2}))$ denote the vertex set in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. Now suppose that $a \in V(\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2}))$,

a) If $q_1 \mid a$, then $q_2 \parallel a$.

If not then i) $a \mid q_2$ or ii) $q_2 \mid a$ i.e. $a \leq q_2$ or $q_2 \leq a$

i) if $a \mid q_2$ and $q_1 \mid a$, then $q_1 \mid q_2$ a contradiction.

ii) if $q_2 \mid a$ and $q_1 \mid a$ which implies that $(q_1 q_2) \mid a$ i.e. $n \mid a$ which is a contradiction to $a < n$. Thus $a - n_q$ is an edge in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$.

b) If $a \mid q_1$, then $a \nmid q_2$.

As if $q_2 \mid a$ and $a \mid q_1 \implies q_2 \mid q_1$ becomes a contradiction, hence $q_2 \nmid a$. Thus $a \parallel q_2$ then $a - q_2$ is an edge in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. If both a) and b) fail, then $a \parallel q_1$ then $a - q_1$ is an edge in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$. □

5. AN INDEPENDENT SET IN $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$

In this section we found the largest independent set of $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$.

Theorem 5.1. *The largest independent set in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ contains $k + 1$ elements.*

Proof. Let $p_q \in L_n^{2^2}$, $q = 1, 2, \dots, k$. As $p_{q_1} \mid (p_{q_1} p_{q_2})$, $(p_{q_1} p_{q_2}) \mid (p_{q_1} p_{q_2} p_{q_3})$ continuing like this for $k - 2$ times

$(p_{q_1}p_{q_2}\cdots p_{q_m}) \mid (p_{q_1}p_{q_2}\cdots p_{q_m}p_{q_{m+1}})$, $q_m = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we have these vertices $p_{q_1}, p_{q_1}p_{q_2}, p_{q_1}p_{q_2}p_{q_3}, \dots, p_{q_1}p_{q_2}p_{q_3}\cdots p_{q_{m+1}}$ are not adjacent to each other in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$.

So all these vertices $(p_{q_1}), (p_{q_1}p_{q_2}), \dots, (p_{q_1}p_{q_2}\cdots p_{q_{m+1}})$ form an independent set containing $k + 1$ vertices.

Hence, the largest independent set in $\Gamma_I(L_n^{2^2})$ contains $k + 1$ elements. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ALLAN, R. LASKAR: *On domination and independent domination number of a graph*, Discrete Mathematics, **23** (1978), 73–76.
- [2] D. DUFFUS, I. RIVAL: *Path length in the covering graph of a lattice*, Discrete Mathematics, **19** (1977), 139–158.
- [3] A. DABHOLE, K. GHADLE, G. ROKADE: *Zero-divisor graph of the lattice $L_n^{2^2}$* , Journal of Critical reviews, **7** (2020), 1258–1264.
- [4] E. ESTAJI, K. KHASHAYARMANESH: *The zero divisor graph of a lattice*, Results in Mathematics, **61** (2010), 1–11.
- [5] N. FILIPOV: *Comparability graphs of a partially ordered sets of different types*, Colloq Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, **33** (1980), 373–380.
- [6] J. FOXA, J. PACH: *String graphs and incomparability graphs*, Advances in Mathematics, **230**(3) (2012), 1381–1401.
- [7] S. NIMBHORKAR, M. WASADIKAR, M. PAWAR: *Coloring of lattices*, Mathematica Slovaca, **60** (2010), 419–434.
- [8] M. WASADIKAR, A. DABHOLE: *Incomparability graphs of the lattices L_n and $L_n^{1^2}$* , Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics, **63** (2017), 271–278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MIT ENGINEERING COLLEGE
BEED BYPASS ROAD, AURANGABAD, (M.S.) INDIA.
Email address: amit_dabhole22@rediffmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
DR. BABASAHEB AMBEDKAR MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY
AURANGABAD-431004, (M.S.) INDIA.
Email address: drkp.ghadle@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
J.E.S. COLLEGE
JALNA, (M.S.) INDIA.
Email address: ganeshrokade01@gmail.com