

## A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM USING COMPATIBLE MAPS OF TYPE $(\gamma)$ AND $(\delta)$

J. JEYACHRISTY PRISKILLAL AND G. SHEEBA MERLIN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this article, we prove a common fixed point theorems using compatible mapping of type  $(\gamma)$  and  $(\delta)$  in fuzzy metric spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The generalization of the commuting mapping concept is compatible mapping which is introduced by Gerald Jungck [3]. This concept was generalized to fuzzy metric spaces by Mishra et al. [8]. Y. J. Cho introduced the concept of compatible mapping of type  $(\alpha)$  [1] and compatible mapping of type  $(\beta)$  [2]. The authors defined intuitionistic  $(\psi, \eta)$  contractive mapping in [7]. Using the definition of  $\psi$ , we gave a common fixed point theorem. Also, The authors introduced compatible mapping of type  $(\gamma)$  and compatible mapping of type  $(\delta)$  in [6]. Further, the theorem is discussed for two different types of compatible mappings. In this paper [7],  $\psi$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\Psi$  be the class of all mappings  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that:

- (i)  $\psi$  is non-decreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(s) = 1, \forall s \in (0, 1)$ ;
- (ii)  $\psi(s) > s, \forall s \in (0, 1)$ ;
- (iii)  $\psi(1) = 1$ .

<sup>1</sup>corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 37C25, 54E70.

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy metric space, compatible mapping, common fixed point.

Also in [6], compatible mapping of type  $(\gamma)$  and compatible mapping of type  $(\delta)$  are defined as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(U, \mu, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. We say that the two self mappings  $A$  and  $B$  are called:

- (a) compatible of type  $(\gamma)$  if for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(AAu_n, Bw, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(BBu_n, Aw, t) = 1$  whenever  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence in  $U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Au_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bu_n = w$  for some  $w \in U$ .
- (b) compatible of type  $(\delta)$  if for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AAu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABu_n = Bw$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BBu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAu_n = Aw$ , whenever  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence in  $U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Au_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bu_n = w$  for some  $w \in U$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** [5] Let  $U$  be a nonempty set and  $*$  a continuous  $t$ -norm. A fuzzy set  $\mu$  on  $U^2 \times [0, \infty)$  is called a fuzzy metric on  $U$  if for all  $u, v, w \in U$  and  $s, t > 0$ , the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\mu(u, v, 0) = 0$ ;  
(ii)  $\mu(u, v, t) = 1$  iff  $u = v$ ;  
(iii)  $\mu(u, v, t) = \mu(v, u, t)$ ;  
(iv)  $\mu(u, w, t + s) \geq \mu(u, v, t) * \mu(v, w, s)$ ;  
(v)  $\mu(u, v, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous.

Then  $(U, \mu, *)$  is said to be a fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 2.2.** [4] Let  $(U, \mu, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. A sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $U$  is called:

- (a) convergent to a point  $u \in U$  iff  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(u_n, u, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ ,  
(b) Cauchy if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(u_n, u_{n+a}, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $a > 0$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [4] A fuzzy metric space  $(U, \mu, *)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $U$  is convergent.

**Definition 2.4.** [8] In a fuzzy metric space  $(U, \mu, *)$ , two self mappings  $A$  and  $B$  are called compatible if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(ABu_n, BAu_n, t) = 1$  whenever  $u_n$  is a sequence in  $U$  and if for all  $t > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Au_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bu_n = w$  for some  $w \in U$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [9] Two self maps  $A$  and  $B$  of a fuzzy metric space  $(U, \mu, *)$  are said to be reciprocally continuous on  $U$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABu_n = Aw$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAu_n = Bw$  whenever  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence in  $U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Au_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bu_n = w$  for some  $w$  in  $U$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [6] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be compatible mappings of a fuzzy metric space  $(U, \mu, *)$  into itself. If  $Aw = Bw$  for some  $w \in U$ , then  $ABw = BAw$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** [6] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be compatible mapping of type  $(\delta)$  of a fuzzy metric space  $(U, \mu, *)$  into itself. Let one of  $A$  and  $B$  be continuous. Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Au_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bu_n = w$  for some  $w \in U$ . Then  $Aw = Bw$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [8] If  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible mappings on a fuzzy metric space  $U$  and  $Au_n, Bu_n \rightarrow w$  for some  $w$  in  $U$  ( $u_n$  being a sequence in  $U$ ) then  $ABu_n \rightarrow Bw$  provided  $B$  is continuous (at  $w$ ).

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be self maps on a complete fuzzy metric space  $U$  and  $\psi \in \Phi$  such that satisfy the following conditions:

- (I)  $A(U) \subset B(U)$ ,
- (II)  $\mu(Au, Av, t) \geq \psi(\mu(Bu, Bv, t))$  for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $t > 0$ ,
- (III)  $A$  or  $B$  is continuous.
- (IV) the sequence  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  in  $U$  are such that  $\{u_n\} \rightarrow u, \{v_n\} \rightarrow v, t > 0$  implies  $\mu(u_n, v_n, t) \rightarrow \mu(u, v, t)$ .

Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible. Then  $A$  and  $B$  have a unique common fixed point in  $U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_0 \in U$  and  $A(U) \subset B(U)$  define a sequence  $u_n$  in  $U$ , for all  $n \in N$  as follows:

$$Au_n = B(u_{n+1}).$$

Then for all  $t > 0$  and suppose  $n$  is odd,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(Au_n, Au_{n+1}, t) &\geq \psi\mu(Bu_n, Bu_{n+1}, t) \\ &= \psi\mu(Au_{n-1}, Au_n, t) \\ &\geq \psi^2(\mu(Bu_{n-1}, Bu_n, t)) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \psi^n(\mu(Au_0, Au_1, t)).\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\mu(Au_n, Au_{n+1}, t) \geq \psi^n(\mu(Au_0, Au_1, t))$ . By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(s) = 1$ , for all  $s \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, Au_{n+1}, t) = 1.$$

For all  $a > 0$ ,

$$\mu(Au_n, Au_{n+a}, t) \geq \mu(Au_n, Au_{n+1}, t/a) * \dots * \mu(Au_{n+a-1}, Au_{n+a}, t/a).$$

By taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, Au_{n+a}, t) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, Au_{n+1}, t/a) * \dots * \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_{n+a-1}, Au_{n+a}, t/a) \\ &\geq 1 * \dots * 1 \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, Au_{n+a}, t) = 1.$$

Similarly suppose  $n$  is even,  $\mu(Au_n, Au_{n+1}, t) \geq \psi^n(\mu(Bu_0, Bu_1, t))$ . By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(s) = 1$ , for all  $s \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, Au_{n+1}, t) = 1.$$

Also, we can prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, Au_{n+a}, t) = 1.$$

Hence,  $\{Au_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $U$ .

Since  $(U, \mu, *)$  is a complete fuzzy metric space, there exists  $w \in U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, w, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Bu_n, w, t) = 1$ , for each  $t > 0$ . Suppose  $A$  is continuous, since  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible and by Lemma 2.1,  $BAu_n \rightarrow Aw$ .

Now,

$$\mu(Au_n, AAu_n, t) \geq \psi(\mu(Bu_n, BAu_n, t)).$$

By taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mu(w, Aw, t) \geq \psi(\mu(w, Aw, t)) \geq \mu(w, Aw, t).$$

This is possible only when  $\mu(w, Aw, t) = 1$ . That is  $Aw = w$ . Since  $A(U) \subset B(U)$  there exists  $w_1$  in  $U$  such that  $w = Aw = Bw_1$ . From

$$\mu(AAu_n, Aw_1, t) \geq \psi(\mu(BAu_n, Bw_1, t)),$$

by taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mu(Aw, Aw_1, t) \geq \psi(\mu(Aw, Bw_1, t)) = \psi(1) = 1.$$

That is  $Aw_1 = Bw_1$ .

Now, we have  $Aw = Aw_1$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $ABw_1 = BAw_1$ .

$$\mu(Aw, Bw, t) = \mu(ABw_1, BAw_1, t) = 1.$$

Hence,  $Aw = Bw = w$ . Hence  $A$  and  $B$  have a common fixed point in  $U$ .

**Uniqueness:**

Assume  $\bar{w} \neq w$  for some  $\bar{w} \in U$ , is another common fixed point in  $U$ . Then for  $t > 0$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(w, \bar{w}, t) &= \mu(A(w), A(\bar{w}), t) \\ &\geq \psi(\mu(B(w), B(\bar{w}), t)) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq \psi^n(\mu(B(w), B(\bar{w}), t)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and by our assumption,

$$\mu(w, \bar{w}, t) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(\mu(B(w), B(\bar{w}), t)) = 1.$$

That is,  $\mu(w, \bar{w}, t) = 1$ . Therefore,  $w = \bar{w}$ . Hence  $A$  and  $B$  have a unique common fixed point in  $U$ . □

**Example 1.** Let  $U = [0, \infty)$  with the metric  $d$  defined by  $d(u, v) = |u - v|$ , define  $\mu(u, v, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(u,v)}$ , for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $t > 0$ . Note that,  $(U, \mu, *)$  where  $a * b = ab$  is a complete fuzzy metric space.

The maps  $A, B : U \rightarrow U$  are defined by  $A(u) = \frac{2+u}{3}$  and  $B(u) = u$ . Let  $u_n = (1 - \frac{1}{n})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(ABu_n, BAu_n, t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(Au_n, B\frac{2+u_n}{3}, t\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\frac{2+u_n}{3}, \frac{2+u_n}{3}, t\right) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(ABu_n, BAu_n, t) = 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Au_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2+u_n}{3} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2+(1-\frac{1}{n})}{3} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = 1.$$

Therefore,  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible mapping. Also  $AU \subset BU$  and  $B$  is continuous.

Now, define the map  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\psi(s) = \frac{2s}{s+1}$  for each  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $\psi \in \Phi$ . Then

$$\mu(A(u), A(v), t) \geq \psi(\mu(B(u), B(v), t))$$

if

$$\mu\left(\frac{2+u}{3}, \frac{2+v}{3}, t\right) \geq \psi(\mu(u, v, t)),$$

or equivalently if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{t+d(\frac{2+u}{3}, \frac{2+v}{3})} &\geq \frac{\frac{2t}{t+d(u,v)}}{\frac{t}{t+d(u,v)}+1} \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{t}{t+|\frac{2+u}{3}-\frac{2+v}{3}|} &\geq \frac{\frac{2t}{t+|u-v|}}{\frac{t}{t+|u-v|}+1} \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{t}{t+\frac{|u-v|}{3}} &\geq \frac{t}{t+\frac{|u-v|}{2}} \\ \Leftrightarrow t+\frac{|u-v|}{2} &\geq t+\frac{|u-v|}{3} \\ \Leftrightarrow 3 &\geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

All the conditions of the previous theorem are verified. Then, 1 is the unique fixed point. Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  have the unique common fixed point in  $U$ .

Now, we prove the following theorem for compatible of type  $(\gamma)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be self maps on a complete fuzzy metric space  $U$  and  $\psi \in \Phi$  such that satisfy the above conditions (I), (II) and (IV). Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are reciprocally continuous and compatible of type  $(\gamma)$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  have a unique common fixed point in  $U$ .*

*Proof.* From the previous theorem,  $\{Au_n\}$  and  $\{Bu_n\}$  are a Cauchy sequences in  $U$ . Since  $(U, \mu, *)$  is a complete fuzzy metric space, there exists  $w \in U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, w, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Bu_n, w, t) = 1$ , for each  $t > 0$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible of type  $(\gamma)$ , we have  $AAu_n \rightarrow Bw$  and  $BBu_n \rightarrow Aw$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also since  $A$  and  $B$  are reciprocally continuous,  $ABu_n \rightarrow Aw$  and  $BAu_n \rightarrow Bw$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We claim that  $Aw = Bw$ . Indeed, from

$$\mu(AAu_n, ABu_n, t) \geq \psi(\mu(BAu_n, BBu_n, t))$$

by taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we receive

$$\mu(Bw, Aw, t) \geq \psi(\mu(Bw, Aw, t)) \geq \mu(Bw, Aw, t).$$

It is possible only when  $\mu(Bw, Aw, t) = 1$ . That is,  $Aw = Bw$ .

Now, from

$$\mu(Au_n, AAu_n, t) \geq \psi(\mu(Bu_n, BAu_n, t))$$

by taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\mu(w, Bw, t) \geq \psi(\mu(w, Bw, t)) \geq (\mu(w, Bw, t)).$$

This is possible only when  $\mu(w, Bw, t) = 1$ . That is  $Bw = w$ .

Hence  $Aw = Bw = w$ .

Easily, we can verify the uniqueness as in the previous theorem. □

Finally, we prove the following theorem for compatible of type  $(\delta)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be self maps on a complete fuzzy metric space  $U$  and  $\psi \in \Phi$  such that satisfy the above conditions (I), (II), (III) and (IV). Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible of type  $(\delta)$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  have a unique common fixed point in  $U$ .*

*Proof.* From the Theorem 3.1,  $\{Au_n\}$  and  $\{Bu_n\}$  are a Cauchy sequences in  $U$ . Since  $(U, \mu, *)$  is a complete fuzzy metric space, there exists  $w \in U$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Au_n, w, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(Bu_n, w, t) = 1$ , for each  $t > 0$ . Since  $A$

and  $B$  are compatible of type  $(\delta)$  and one of  $A$  and  $B$  is continuous, by Proposition 2.2,  $Aw = Bw$ . Now, from

$$\mu(Au_n, AAu_n, t) \geq \psi(\mu(Bu_n, BAu_n, t)),$$

by taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mu(w, Bw, t) \geq \psi(\mu(w, Aw, t))$$

Since  $Aw = Bw$ ,

$$\mu(w, Aw, t) \geq \psi(\mu(w, Aw, t)) \geq \mu(w, Aw, t).$$

This is possible only when  $\mu(w, Aw, t) = 1$ . That is,  $Aw = w$ . Hence  $Aw = Bw = w$ .

Easily, we can verify the uniqueness as in the Theorem 3.1. □

**Remark 3.1.** *Example 1 is also suitable for Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. J. CHO: *Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Fuzzy. Math., **5**(4) (1997), 949–962.
- [2] Y. J. CHO, H. K. PATHAK, S. M. KANG, J. S. JUNG: *Common fixed points of compatible maps of type  $(\beta)$  on fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy. Set. Syst., **93**(1) (1998), 99–111.
- [3] G. JUNGCK: *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **9**(4) (1986), 771–779.
- [4] M. GRABIEC: *Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy. Set. Syst., **27**(3) (1988), 385–389.
- [5] I. KRAMSOIL, J. MICHALEK: *Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, **11**(5) (1975), 336–344.
- [6] J. J. PRISKILLAL, G. S. MERLIN: *Compatible Mapping of Type  $(\gamma)$  and  $(\delta)$* , Int. J. Innov. Tech. Explor. Engg., **8**(6S4) (2019), 1062–1065.
- [7] J. J. PRISKILLAL, P. THANGAVELU: *Intuitionistic fuzzy  $(\psi, \eta)$ -contractive mapping and fixed points*, J. Anal. Number Theory, **5**(2) (2017), 1–4.
- [8] S. N. MISHRA, N. SHARMA, S. L. SINGH: *Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. Mathemat. Sci., **17**(2) (1994), 253–258.
- [9] S. KUTUKCU, S. SHARMA, H. TOKGOZ, *A Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **1**(18) (2007), 861–872.



SCHOOL OF MARITIME STUDIES  
VELS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SCIENCES AND ADVANCED STUDIES  
CHENNAI-600127, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KARUNYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCES  
COIMBATORE-641114, TAMIL NADU, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* sheebamerlin@karunya.edu